

# Weyl-Fefferman-Graham and covariant Bondi gauges

Arnaud DELFANTE (UMONS)

Unit of Physics of the Universe, Fields and Gravitation

University of Mons (Belgium)

October 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2023 – 3<sup>rd</sup> Carroll Workshop

Based on [A. Campoleoni, L. Ciambelli, AD, C. Marteau, P. M. Petropoulos, R. Ruzziconi (2208.07575)] and [LC, AD, RR, C. Zwikel (2308.15480)]

## I. Plan and Motivations

## II. Weyl-Fefferman-Graham

## III. Covariant Bondi

## IV. Summary and Future Possibilities

# I. Plan and Motivations

- Study of the classical phase space of 3D asymptotically AdS gravity

Select the allowed metric fluctuations at infinity [Brown-Henneaux '86]

- No requirement to fix any particular gauge but it is often convenient

For example: Fefferman-Graham, Bondi gauge [Starobinsky '83, Fefferman-Graham '85]  
[Bondi-van der Burg-Metzner '62, Sachs '62]

- In this talk: Weyl-Fefferman-Graham gauge [Ciambelli-Leigh '19, Jia-Karydas '21]

Interesting implications for holography

Link with the covariant Bondi gauge, allow for a smooth flat-space limit

I. Plan and Motivations

II. **Weyl-Fefferman-Graham**

III. Covariant Bondi

IV. Summary and Future Possibilities

## II. Fefferman-Graham gauge in 3D

- Useful **gauge fixing** for holography: [Fefferman-Graham '85]

Any asymptotically AdS<sub>3</sub> space can be written near the boundary as ( $\ell = 1$ )

$$ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = \frac{d\rho^2}{\rho^2} + h_{ij}(\rho, x) dx^i dx^j$$

$\rho$  is a spacelike coordinate s.t.  $\rho = 0$  locates the bdy

$x^i$  are bdy coords:  $x^1 = t$  is timelike and  $x^2 = \theta$  is spacelike

- Boundary geometry  $\rightarrow$  leading order in the asymptotic expansion

$$h_{ij}(\rho, x) = \frac{1}{\rho^2} h_{ij}^{(0)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

- FG expansion does not transform Weyl-covariantly under [Henningson-Skenderis '98]

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho' = \frac{\rho}{\mathfrak{B}(x)}, \quad x^i \rightarrow x'^i = x^i + \xi^i(\rho, x)$$

## II. Weyl-Fefferman-Graham gauge in 3D

- **Solution:** relax the FG ansatz to the **WFG** gauge [Ciambelli-Leigh '19]

$$ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \left( \frac{d\rho}{\rho} - k_i(\rho, x) dx^i \right)^2 + h_{ij}(\rho, x) dx^i dx^j, \quad k_i(\rho, x) = k_i^{(0)}(x) + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$$

$\hookrightarrow h_{ij}^{(0)}(x)$  is the bdy metric and  $k_i^{(0)}(x)$  is a bdy **Weyl connection**

- **Question:** Is the Weyl structure associated with an asymptotic symmetry?
- **Einstein gravitation**  $\rightarrow$  **Chern-Simons** gauge theory ( $L_{EH} = L_{CS}[A] - L_{CS}[\tilde{A}]$ )  
[Achucarro-Townsend '86, Witten '88]

$$L_{CS}[A] = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \text{Tr} \left( A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right)$$

Isometry algebra of **AdS<sub>3</sub>** =  $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}$$

## II. WFG gauge in 3D: asymptotic symmetries

- Boundary **conformally-flat** parametrization:  $(x^\pm = t \pm \theta)$

$$h_{ij}^{(0)} dx^i dx^j = e^{2\phi(x^+, x^-)} dx^+ dx^-$$

- Solution space** – Chern-Simons connections:

$$A_\rho = -\frac{1}{\rho} L_0 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),$$

$$A_+ = \frac{1}{\rho} e^\phi L_1 + (2k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_0 - \rho e^{-\phi} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2),$$

$$A_- = \partial_- \phi L_0 + \rho e^{-\phi} \partial_- (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3),$$

where  $h_{++}^{(2)} = \ell_+(x^+) - (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)^2 - \partial_+ (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)$

- Surface charges:**  $(\delta_\lambda \phi = \sigma, \delta_\lambda k_i^{(0)} = h_i^{(0)})$

$$Q = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \phi(\partial_t \sigma - h_t^{(0)}) - \sigma(\partial_t \phi - k_t^{(0)}) \right]$$

The **Weyl connection** is associated with an **asymptotic symmetry**!

## II. WFG gauge in 3D: asymptotic symmetries

- Boundary **conformally-flat** parametrization:  $(x^\pm = t \pm \theta)$

$$h_{ij}^{(0)} dx^i dx^j = e^{2\phi(x^+, x^-)} dx^+ dx^-$$

- Solution space** – Chern-Simons connections:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\rho &= -\frac{1}{\rho} L_0 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \\ A_+ &= \frac{1}{\rho} e^\phi L_1 + (2k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_0 - \rho e^{-\phi} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \\ A_- &= \partial_- \phi L_0 + \rho e^{-\phi} \partial_- (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{++}^{(2)} = \ell_+(x^+) - (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)^2 - \partial_+ (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)$

- Surface charges:**  $(\delta_\lambda \phi = \sigma, \delta_\lambda k_i^{(0)} = h_i^{(0)})$

$$Q = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \phi(\partial_t \sigma - h_t^{(0)}) - \sigma(\partial_t \phi - k_t^{(0)}) \right]$$

The **Weyl connection** is associated with an **asymptotic symmetry!**



## II. WFG gauge in 3D: asymptotic symmetries

- Boundary **conformally-flat** parametrization:  $(x^\pm = t \pm \theta)$

$$h_{ij}^{(0)} dx^i dx^j = e^{2\phi(x^+, x^-)} dx^+ dx^-$$

- Solution space** – Chern-Simons connections:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\rho &= -\frac{1}{\rho} L_0 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \\ A_+ &= \frac{1}{\rho} e^\phi L_1 + (2k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_0 - \rho e^{-\phi} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2), \\ A_- &= \partial_- \phi L_0 + \rho e^{-\phi} \partial_- (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3), \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{++}^{(2)} = \ell_+(x^+) - (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)^2 - \partial_+ (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)$

- Surface charges:**  $(\delta_\lambda \phi = \sigma, \delta_\lambda k_i^{(0)} = h_i^{(0)})$

$$Q = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \phi(\partial_t \sigma - h_t^{(0)}) - \sigma(\partial_t \phi - k_t^{(0)}) \right]$$

The **Weyl connection** is associated with an **asymptotic symmetry!**

## II. WFG gauge in 3D: asymptotic symmetries

- Boundary **conformally-flat** parametrization:  $(x^\pm = t \pm \theta)$

$$h_{ij}^{(0)} dx^i dx^j = e^{2\phi(x^+, x^-)} dx^+ dx^-$$

- Solution space** – Chern-Simons connections:

$$\begin{aligned} A &= b^{-1} (d + a) b, & b(\rho) &= \exp(-\log \rho L_0), \\ a_+ &= e^\phi L_1 + (2k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_0 - e^{-\phi} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1}, \\ a_- &= \partial_- \phi L_0 + e^{-\phi} \partial_- (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi) L_{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h_{++}^{(2)} = \ell_+(x^+) - (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)^2 - \partial_+ (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)$

- Surface charges:**  $(\delta_\lambda \phi = \sigma, \delta_\lambda k_i^{(0)} = h_i^{(0)})$

$$Q = -\frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \phi(\partial_t \sigma - h_t^{(0)}) - \sigma(\partial_t \phi - k_t^{(0)}) \right]$$

The **Weyl connection** is associated with an **asymptotic symmetry!**

## II. WFG gauge in 3D: passage to Covariant Bondi

**Solution space** – Chern-Simons connections: ( $A = b^{-1}(d + a)b$ )

- **WFG** ( $b(\rho) = \exp(-\log \rho L_0)$ )

$$\begin{aligned}a_+ &= e^\phi L_1 + (2k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)L_0 - e^{-\phi} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1}, \\a_- &= \partial_- \phi L_0 + e^{-\phi} \partial_- (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)L_{-1}, \\h_{++}^{(2)} &= \ell_+(x^+) - (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)^2 - \partial_+ (k_+^{(0)} - \partial_+ \phi)\end{aligned}$$

- **Covariant Bondi** ( $b(r) = \exp(r L_{-1})$ )

$$\begin{aligned}a_+ &= e^{\varphi+\zeta} L_1 + \partial_+ (\varphi - \zeta)L_0 - e^{-(\varphi+\zeta)} h_{++}^{(2)} L_{-1}, \\a_- &= \partial_- (\varphi + \zeta)L_0 - e^{-(\varphi+\zeta)} \partial_+ \partial_- \zeta L_{-1}, \\h_{++}^{(2)} &= \ell_+(x^+) - (\partial_+ \zeta)^2 + \partial_+^2 \zeta\end{aligned}$$

- **Passage from WFG to CB**

$$k_i^{(0)}(x) \rightarrow \partial_i \varphi(x), \quad \phi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x) + \zeta(x)$$

I. Plan and Motivations

II. Weyl-Fefferman-Graham

**III. Covariant Bondi**

IV. Summary and future possibilities

### III. Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS

- **Key idea 1:** relax the AdS Bondi gauge  $\rightarrow$  dependence on the boundary dyad

$$ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = \frac{2}{k^2} u (dr + r A) + r^2 ds_{\text{bdy}}^2 + \frac{8\pi G}{k^4} u (\varepsilon u + \chi * u)$$

- Boundary metric and **Cartan frame:** ( $u = -\frac{k}{2} e^\varphi (e^\zeta dx^+ - e^{-\zeta} dx^-)$ )

$$ds_{\text{bdy}}^2 = \frac{1}{k^2} (-u_i u_j + *u_i *u_j) dx^i dx^j = e^{2\varphi} dx^+ dx^-$$

Weyl connection: [Loganayagam '08]

$$A = \frac{1}{k^2} (\Theta^* *u - \Theta u), \quad \Theta = \nabla_i u^i, \quad \Theta^* = \nabla_i *u^i$$

- Energy-momentum tensor: [Brown-York '93]

$$T = T(\varepsilon, \chi) : \quad \nabla_i T^{ij} = 0, \quad T^i_i = \frac{R}{16\pi Gk}$$

### III. CB gauge in AdS: asymptotic symmetries

- Residual symmetries:** [Ciambelli-Marteanu-Petropoulos-Ruzziconi '20]

$$v = \left( \xi^i - \frac{1}{k^2 r} \eta * u^i \right) \partial_i + \left( r \sigma + \frac{1}{k^2} (*u^j \partial_j \eta + \Theta * \eta) + \frac{4\pi G}{k^2 r} \chi \eta \right) \partial_r$$

where  $(ds_{\text{bdy}}^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j)$

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \eta)} g_{ij} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{ij} + 2\sigma g_{ij}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ *u' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & \sinh \eta \\ \sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ *u \end{pmatrix}$$

- Charges** associated with the **Weyl-Lorentz symmetries:**  $(\delta_v \varphi = \hat{\sigma}, \delta_v \zeta = \hat{\eta})$

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi G k^2} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \zeta \partial_u \hat{\eta} - \hat{\eta} \partial_u \zeta \right]$$

**Anomaly** in the **Lorentz symmetry** in the dual theory  $(F_{ij} = \partial_i A_j - \partial_j A_i)$

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \eta)} S_L = \int \left( \eta \frac{F}{8\pi G} \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$$

### III. Flat limit and boundary Carroll frames

- **Key idea 2:** null gauges in AdS admit a proper flat limit  
↪ in the flat limit: timelike AdS bdy → null manifold  
↪ bdy metric → degenerate ⇒ Carrollian geometry
- **Bulk metric:** [Campoleoni-Ciambelli-Marteau-Petropoulos-Siampos '19]

$$ds_{\text{Flat}}^2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} ds_{\text{AdS}}^2 = 2\mu(dr + r\mathcal{A}) + r^2(\mu^*)^2 + 8\pi G\mu(\epsilon\mu + \alpha\mu^*)$$

↪ small- $k$  behavior for the line element quantities:

$$\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{u}{k^2}, \quad \mu^* = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{*u}{k}, \quad v = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} u, \quad v_* = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{*u}{k},$$

$$\alpha = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\chi}{k}, \quad \epsilon = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \epsilon, \quad \mathcal{A} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} A = \mu^* \theta^* - \mu \theta$$

### III. Flat limit: asymptotic symmetries

- Residual symmetries:** [Ciambelli-Marteau-Petropoulos-Ruzziconi '20]

$$v = \left(\xi^i - \frac{1}{r} \lambda v_*^i\right) \partial_i + \left(r \sigma + v_*^j \partial_j \lambda + \theta^* \lambda + \frac{4\pi G}{r} \alpha \lambda\right) \partial_r$$

$\hookrightarrow$  bdy diffeomorphisms  $\xi^i(x)$ , Weyl rescalings  $\sigma(x)$  and Carroll boosts  $\lambda(x)$

$$\lambda(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\eta(x)}{k}$$

- Conformal gauge:** parametrization of the Carrollian dyad ( $\beta = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\zeta}{k}$ )

$$\mu = -e^\varphi (du + \beta d\theta), \quad \mu^* = e^\varphi d\theta$$

Charges associated with the Weyl–boost symmetries: ( $\delta_v \varphi = \hat{\sigma}$ ,  $\delta_v \beta = \hat{\lambda}$ )

$$Q = \frac{1}{8\pi G} \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \left[ \beta \partial_u \hat{\lambda} - \hat{\lambda} \partial_u \beta \right]$$

**Anomalies:** ( $\mathcal{F}_{ij} = \partial_i \mathcal{A}_j - \partial_j \mathcal{A}_i$ )

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \lambda)} S_C = \int \left( \lambda \frac{\mathcal{F}}{8\pi G} \right) \text{vol}_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$$



I. Plan and Motivations

II. Weyl-Fefferman-Graham

III. Covariant Bondi

**IV. Summary and future possibilities**

# IV. Summary

## Main goal:

- Explore the charges of 3D gravity in **Weyl–Fefferman–Graham** and **covariant Bondi** gauges

## Results:

- Residual symmetries, surface charges and anomalies
- FG fixing can constrain the physical solution space
- New holographic Carrollian prediction

## Future possibilities:

- Relate to asymptotic corner group [Donnelly-Freidel '16, Freidel-Geiller-Pranzetti '20, Ciambelli-Leigh-Pai '21]
- Explicit diffeomorphisms, synthesis of other gauge relaxation proposals [Grumiller-Riegler '16, Ciambelli-Marteau-Petropoulos-Ruzziconi '20, Geiller-Goeller-Zwikel '21]
- Extension to higher dimensions [Ciambelli-Leigh '19, Petkou-Petropoulos-Betancour-Siampos '22, Campoleoni-AD-Pekar-Petropoulos-Betancour-Vilatte '23]

## IV. Summary



Lewis Carroll, Hermann Weyl, Charles Fefferman and Robin Graham. Created with the assistance of DALL-E 2.

# Thank you for listening!

# Three-dimensional aspects

- Isometry algebra of  $\text{AdS}_3 = \mathfrak{so}(2,2)$

$$[M_a, M_b] = \epsilon_{abc} M^c, \quad [M_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{abc} P^c, \quad [P_a, P_b] = \left(\frac{g}{\ell}\right)^2 \epsilon_{abc} M^c$$

- Dreibein or first order formalism ( $ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu$ )

$$g_{\mu\nu} = e_\mu^a \eta_{ab} e_\nu^b, \quad de^a + \epsilon^{abc} \omega_b \wedge e_c = 0$$

- **Einstein gravitation**  $\rightarrow$  **Chern-Simons** gauge theory ( $\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{g} e^a P_a + \omega^a M_a$ )

[Achucarro-Townsend '86, Witten '88]

$$S_{EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_M \text{Tr} (\mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A})$$

- No local degrees of freedom  $\rightarrow$  no gravitational radiation

Global properties  $\rightarrow$  asymptotic surface charges [M. Banados (1996)]

# Covariant phase space formalism: Chern-Simons

Chern-Simons theory, gauge theory with a simple Lie group  $G$

- CS action

$$S = \int_M L, \quad L = \text{tr} \left( A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right)$$

$\mathfrak{g}$ -valued differential one-form

$$A = A_\mu^a dx^\mu J_a$$

- Arbitrary field variation ( $A \rightarrow A + \delta A$ ) :  $\delta L = (\text{eom})\delta A + d\Theta$

$$\text{eom} = dA + A \wedge A \approx 0, \quad \Theta = -\text{tr}(A \wedge \delta A)$$

Gauge symmetry

$$\delta_\lambda A = I_{V_\lambda} \delta A = d\lambda + [A, \lambda]$$

- Conserved codimension-2 charge

$$\Omega = \int_{\partial M} \delta\Theta, \quad I_{V_\lambda} \Omega = -\delta H_\lambda, \quad H_\lambda \approx -2 \int_{\partial^2 M} \text{tr}(\lambda \delta A)$$

# Geometry of the Weyl-Fefferman-Graham gauge 1/3

WFG gauge is preserved under radial diffeos inducing bdy Weyl transfos

$$\rho \rightarrow \rho' = \frac{\rho}{\mathfrak{B}(x)}, \quad x^i \rightarrow x'^i = x^i,$$

so that the radial expansion transforms Weyl-covariantly

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(\rho, x) &\rightarrow k'_i(\rho', x') = k_i(\mathfrak{B}(x)\rho', x) - \partial_i \ln \mathfrak{B}(x), \\ h_{ij}(\rho, x) &\rightarrow h'_{ij}(\rho', x) = h_{ij}(\mathfrak{B}(x)\rho', x), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$k_i^{(2n)}(x) \rightarrow k_i^{(2n)}(x) \mathfrak{B}(x)^{2n} - \delta_{n,0} \partial_i \ln \mathfrak{B}(x), \quad h_{ij}^{(2n)}(x) \rightarrow h_{ij}^{(2n)}(x) \mathfrak{B}(x)^{2n-2}$$

The leading term in  $k_i$  transforms inhomogeneously, i.e., as a Weyl connection

$$k_i^{(0)} \rightarrow k_i^{(0)} - \partial_i \ln \mathfrak{B}.$$

# Geometry of the Weyl-Fefferman-Graham gauge 2/3

Choice of dual form and vector basis for the WFG metric:

$$\begin{aligned} E^\rho &= \frac{d\rho}{\rho} - k_i(\rho, x) dx^i, & E^i &= dx^i, \\ E_\rho &= \rho \partial_\rho \equiv D_\rho, & E_i &= \partial_i + \rho k_i(\rho, x) \partial_\rho \equiv D_i. \end{aligned}$$

The Lie brackets of the vectors are given by

$$[D_\rho, D_i] = D_\rho k_i D_\rho, \quad [D_i, D_j] = f_{ij} D_\rho,$$

where  $f_{ij} \equiv D_i k_j - D_j k_i$  is the curvature associated to  $k_i$ .

Coefficients of the bulk Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  in the frame  $\{D_\rho, D_i\}$ :

$$\nabla_{D_i} D_j = \Gamma_{ij}^k D_k + \Gamma_{ij}^\rho D_\rho.$$

# Geometry of the Weyl-Fefferman-Graham gauge 3/3

Taking into account the WFG radial expansions, one obtains at leading order

$$(\Gamma^{(0)})_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} h_{(0)}^{kl} \left( (\partial_i - 2k_i^{(0)}) h_{jl}^{(0)} + (\partial_j - 2k_j^{(0)}) h_{il}^{(0)} - (\partial_l - 2k_l^{(0)}) h_{ij}^{(0)} \right),$$

↪ coefficients of a torsion-free connection with Weyl metricity [G. B. Folland '70]

The induced connection  $\nabla^{(0)}$  acts as

$$\nabla_i^{(0)} h_{jk}^{(0)} = 2k_i^{(0)} h_{jk}^{(0)}.$$

For a generic Weyl-weight  $\omega_T$  tensor  $T$  of arbitrary type, one can construct the Weyl covariant connection as

$$\hat{\nabla}_i^{(0)} T \equiv \nabla_i^{(0)} T + \omega_T k_i^{(0)} T.$$

So the connection  $\hat{\nabla}^{(0)}$  is metric and  $\hat{\nabla}_i^{(0)} T$  is Weyl covariant.

All geometric quantities built with this connection are Weyl covariant.



# WFG Holographic renormalization

Starting from the WFG solution space, the renormalized action is

$$S_{ren} = \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \int d^3x (R + 2) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} (K - 1) \\ + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} k_i \gamma^{ij} k_j + \frac{\rho^2 \log \rho}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \int d^2x \sqrt{-\gamma} \hat{R}^{(0)},$$

where  $n_\mu = -\sqrt{-\gamma} \delta_\mu^\rho$ ,  $\gamma_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - n_\mu n_\nu$  and  $K = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu n_\nu$ .

The renormalized presymplectic potential is

$$\Theta_{ren} = -\sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{2} T^{ij} \delta h_{ij}^{(0)} - J^i \delta k_i^{(0)} \right)$$

where

$$T^{ij} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{ren}}{\delta h_{ij}^{(0)}} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \left( h_{(2)}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{(0)}^{ij} R^{(0)} + \hat{\nabla}_{(0)}^{(i} k_{(0)}^{j)} \right),$$

$$J^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-h^{(0)}}} \frac{\delta S_{ren}}{\delta k_i^{(0)}} \approx \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} k_{(0)}^i.$$

# WFG Holographic Ward identities

Evaluating the variation of the on-shell action under the action of boundary diffeomorphisms, one can obtain

$$\nabla_i^{(0)} T^i_j = J^i f_{ij}^{(0)} + \nabla_i^{(0)} J^i k_j^{(0)},$$

where  $f_{ij}^{(0)} = \nabla_i^{(0)} k_j^{(0)} - \nabla_j^{(0)} k_i^{(0)}$ .

The variation of the action under boundary Weyl transformations yields

$$T^i_i + \hat{\nabla}_i^{(0)} J^i = \frac{c}{24\pi} \hat{R}^{(0)},$$

which unveils the presence of a holographic Weyl anomaly [Henningson-Skenderis '98].

# WFG boundary term

One can always add a finite boundary counterterm to the action as

$$\bar{S}_{ren} = S_{ren} + S_o, \quad S_o = \int d^2x L_o[h_{ij}^{(0)}, k_i^{(0)}]$$

where  $L_o[h_{ij}^{(0)}, k_i^{(0)}]$  is a boundary Lagrangian involving the boundary geometry

$$L_o = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} k_i \gamma^{ij} \partial_j \sqrt{-\gamma} \right] = \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} k_i^{(0)} h_{(0)}^{ij} \partial_j \sqrt{-h^{(0)}}.$$

The renormalized presymplectic potential reads

$$\bar{\Theta}_{ren} = -\sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{T}^{ij} \delta h_{ij}^{(0)} - \bar{J}^i \delta k_i^{(0)} \right),$$

where

$$\bar{T}^{ij} = T^{ij} + J^{(i} \partial^{j)} \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} + \frac{1}{2} h^{ij} \nabla_k J^k, \quad \bar{J}^i = J^i + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \partial^i \log \sqrt{-h^{(0)}}.$$

One can add a finite corner term to the renormalized action:

$$\tilde{S}_{ren} = S_{ren} + S_C, \quad S_C = \int d^2x \partial_i L_C^i[h_{ij}^{(0)}, k_i^{(0)}]$$

where  $L_C^i[h_{ij}^{(0)}, k_i^{(0)}]$  is a corner Lagrangian involving the boundary geometry

$$L_C^i = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \left[ -\frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \sqrt{-\gamma} \gamma^{ij} k_j \right] = -\frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}} \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} h_{(0)}^{ij} k_j^{(0)}.$$

Then the renormalized symplectic term is

$$\tilde{\Theta}_{ren} = \sqrt{-h^{(0)}} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{T}^{ij} \delta h_{ij}^{(0)} + J^i \delta K_i^{(0)} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{T}^{ij} = T^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} h_{(0)}^{ij} \hat{\nabla}_k^{(0)} J^k, \quad K_i^{(0)} = k_i^{(0)} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_i \ln \sqrt{-h^{(0)}}.$$

# Link with the Bondi gauge

In the Bondi gauge the metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \frac{V}{r} e^{2\beta} du^2 - 2 e^{2\beta} du dr + r^2 e^{2\varphi} (d\phi - U du)^2$$

In the fluid/gravity derivative expansion (DE)

$$ds^2 = 2\ell^2 u_\mu dx^\mu (dr + r A_\nu dx^\nu) + r^2 g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu + 8\pi \mathcal{G} \ell^4 u_\mu dx^\mu (\epsilon u_\nu dx^\nu + \chi * u_\nu dx^\nu)$$

Bondi gauge as a sub-gauge of the DE:  $u_\phi = 0$

→ constraint for definite gauge fixing

↔ identification between the DE and Bondi solution spaces [Ciambelli-Marteau-Petropoulos-Ruzziconi '20]

# Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS: residual symmetries

- **Asymptotic Killing vectors:** [Ciambelli-Marteau-Petropoulos-Ruzziconi '20]

$$v = \left( \xi^\mu - \frac{1}{k^2 r} \eta * u^\mu \right) \partial_\mu + \left( r \sigma + \frac{1}{k^2} (*u^\nu \partial_\nu \eta + \Theta * \eta) + \frac{4\pi \mathcal{G}}{k^2 r} \chi \eta \right) \partial_r$$

↪ bdy diffeomorphisms  $\xi^\mu(x)$ , Weyl rescalings  $\sigma(x)$  and Lorentz boosts  $\eta(x)$

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \eta)} u = \mathcal{L}_\xi u + \sigma u + \eta * u, \quad \delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \eta)} *u = \mathcal{L}_\xi *u + \sigma *u + \eta u$$

where

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma, \eta)} g_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} + 2\sigma g_{\mu\nu}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} u' \\ *u' \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \eta & \sinh \eta \\ \sinh \eta & \cosh \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u \\ *u \end{pmatrix}$$

- **Question:** What are the asymptotic symmetries?

# Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS: symplectic structure

- Einstein–Hilbert **presymplectic** potential: [Iyer-Wald '94]

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] = \frac{\sqrt{-G}}{32\pi\mathcal{G}} \left[ \nabla^N \delta G_{PN} G^{PM} - \nabla^M \delta G_{PN} G^{PN} \right] \epsilon_{MQS} dx^Q \wedge dx^S$$

Radial divergences: need for renormalization

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}^{(r)}[G; \delta G] = r^2 \Theta_{(2)} + r \Theta_{(1)} + \Theta_{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$$

Ambiguous definition:

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] + \delta Z[G] - dY[G; \delta G]$$

- **Choices of prescription:**
  - i. same results as obtained in FFG [de Haro-Solodukhin-Skenderis (2000)]
  - ii. presymplectic potential that remains finite in the flat-space limit

# Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS: symplectic structure

- Einstein–Hilbert **presymplectic** potential: [Iyer-Wald '94]

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] = \frac{\sqrt{-G}}{32\pi\mathcal{G}} \left[ \nabla^N \delta G_{PN} G^{PM} - \nabla^M \delta G_{PN} G^{PN} \right] \epsilon_{MQS} dx^Q \wedge dx^S$$

Radial divergences: need for renormalization

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}^{(r)}[G; \delta G] = r^2 \Theta_{(2)} + r \Theta_{(1)} + \Theta_{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1})$$

Ambiguous definition:

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] \rightarrow \Theta_{\text{EH}}[G; \delta G] + \delta Z[G] - dY[G; \delta G]$$

- **Choices of prescription:**
  - ~~same results as obtained in FFG~~ [de Haro-Solodukhin-Skenderis (2000)]
  - presymplectic potential that remains finite in the flat-space limit



# Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS: surface charges

- **Conformal gauge**: conformally flat bdy metric ( $x^\pm = \phi \pm k u$ )

$$ds^2 = e^{2\varphi} dx^+ dx^-$$

Parametrization of the Cartan frame: ( $\varphi = \varphi(x^+, x^-)$ ,  $\zeta = \zeta(x^+, x^-)$ )

$$u = -\frac{k}{2} e^\varphi \left( e^\zeta dx^+ - e^{-\zeta} dx^- \right), \quad *u = \frac{k}{2} e^\varphi \left( e^\zeta dx^+ + e^{-\zeta} dx^- \right)$$

- **Charges** associated with the **Weyl–Lorentz symmetries**: ( $\delta_\nu \varphi = \varpi$ ,  $\delta_\nu \zeta = h$ )

$$Q_{(\varpi, h)} = \frac{1}{4\pi \mathcal{G} k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left( h (\partial_- - \partial_+) \zeta \right)$$

↪ integrable and non-conserved: **Lorentz** is **anomalous**, **Weyl** is **pure gauge**

# Covariant Bondi gauge in AdS: anomalies

- **Anomaly** in the **Lorentz symmetry** in the dual theory ( $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ )

$$\delta_{(\xi,\sigma,\eta)} S_L = \int \left( \eta \frac{F}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}$$

↪ flat limit: yes

- If we choose the first prescription → **anomaly** in the **Weyl symmetry** in the dual theory [Alessio-Barnich-Ciambelli-Mao-Ruzziconi '20]

$$\delta_{(\xi,\sigma,\eta)} S_W = \int \left( \sigma \frac{R}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}$$

↪ flat limit: no

- **Displacement of the anomaly**: two different representatives in the same cohomology class → BRST formulation [Ciambelli-Leigh-Jia (to appear)]

# Recall of relevant aspects of the Fefferman–Graham gauge

Variation of the on-shell action: [de Haro-Solodukhin-Skenderis (2000)]

$$\delta S = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial}^D x \sqrt{-g} T^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu}$$

The bulk metric induces a conformal class of metrics on the boundary: the boundary metric should be understood as a representative. Moving from one representative to another is not innocuous: [Alessio-Barnich-Ciambelli-Mao-Ruzziconi (2020)].

Evaluation of the on-shell action on a bulk diffeomorphism:

$$\delta_{(\xi, \sigma)} S \sim c \int_{\partial}^2 x \sqrt{-g} \sigma R.$$

A Cartan frame could be introduced in the FFG gauge:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \ell^2 (-u_\mu u_\nu + *u_\mu *u_\nu).$$

However, the variation of the action would remain sensitive to the energy–momentum tensor and to the metric variation, but not separately to  $\delta u$  and  $\delta *u$ .

# Boundary energy–momentum tensor

Brown–York energy–momentum tensor: [Campoleoni-Ciambelli-Marteanu-Petropoulos-Siampos '19]

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2k} \left( \tilde{T}_{\mu\nu} + \hat{T}_{\mu\nu} \right),$$

where

$$\tilde{T} = \frac{\varepsilon}{k^2} (u^2 + *u^2) + \frac{\chi}{k^2} (u *u + *u u) + \frac{R}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^2} *u^2,$$

$$\hat{T} = \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^4} (u^\mu \partial_\mu \Theta + *u^\mu \partial_\mu \Theta^* - \frac{k^2}{2} R) (u^2 + *u^2) - \frac{1}{4\pi\mathcal{G}k^4} *u^\mu \partial_\mu \Theta (u *u + *u u)$$

such that

$$\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad T^\mu{}_\mu = \frac{R}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k}.$$

These equations can be spelled in terms of  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\chi$ , and the Cartan frame as

$$u^\mu (\partial_\mu + 2A_\mu) \varepsilon = - *u^\mu (\partial_\mu + 2A_\mu) \left( \chi - \frac{F}{4\pi\mathcal{G}} \right),$$

$$u^\mu (\partial_\mu + 2A_\mu) \chi = - *u^\mu (\partial_\mu + 2A_\mu) \varepsilon.$$

# Anomalous holographic Ward identities – AdS

Variation of the on-shell action:

$$\delta S = \int_{\partial\mathcal{M}} d^2x \sqrt{-g} (J^\mu \delta u_\mu + J_*^\mu \delta *u_\mu),$$

where the couple of currents

$$J^\mu = -\frac{1}{k^2} T^{\mu\nu} u_\nu + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^5} u^\mu (\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2}) - \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Theta^*,$$

$$J_*^\mu = \frac{1}{k^2} T^{\mu\nu} *u_\nu - \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^5} *u^\mu (\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2}) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Theta.$$

↪ Role analogous to the energy–momentum tensor in the FFG gauge

$$\mathcal{T}^\mu{}_\nu = J^\mu u_\nu + J_*^\mu *u_\nu.$$

↪ Holographic Ward identities: [Bertlmann '96]

$$\nabla_\mu \mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k} F^{\mu\nu} A_\mu, \quad \mathcal{T}^\mu{}_\mu = 0, \quad \mathcal{T}_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k} F_{\mu\nu}.$$

# Holographic renormalization 1/4

The conformal boundary being at  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , we focus on the  $r$ -component of the Einstein–Hilbert presymplectic potential in this limit:

$$\Theta_{\text{EH}}^{(r)}[G; \delta G] = r^2 \Theta_{(2)} + r \Theta_{(1)} + \Theta_{(0)} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-1}),$$

where, in terms of boundary data, we have

$$\Theta_{(2)} = -\frac{k}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \left( \delta \ln \sqrt{-g} \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}},$$

$$\Theta_{(1)} = \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k} \left[ -2 \frac{\delta(\Theta\sqrt{-g})}{\sqrt{-g}} - \nabla_\mu \delta u^\mu \right] \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{(0)} = & \left( \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2k\sqrt{-g}} \delta(\sqrt{-g} \varepsilon) + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^3\sqrt{-g}} \delta[\sqrt{-g} (\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2})] \right. \\ & - \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k\sqrt{-g}} \delta(\sqrt{-g} R) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \nabla_\mu (\delta\Theta u^\mu) \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \nabla_\mu [\delta(\Theta^* * u^\mu)] \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}: \end{aligned}$$

# Holographic renormalization 2/4

This potential diverges, but both divergent terms are pure-ambiguity:

$$\Theta_{\text{ren}}[G; \delta G] = \Theta_{\text{EH}}^{(r)}[G; \delta G] + \delta Z[G] - Y[G; \delta G],$$

where  $Z$  and  $Y$  have their own large- $r$  expansions

$$Z[G] = r^2 Z_{(2)} + r Z_{(1)} + Z_{(0)}, \quad Y[G; \delta G] = r Y_{(1)} + Y_{(0)},$$

whose divergent pieces are

$$Z_{(2)} = \frac{k}{8\pi G} \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}, \quad Z_{(1)} = \frac{1}{8\pi Gk} \Theta \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}, \quad Y_{(1)} = \frac{1}{16\pi Gk} \mu_\alpha \delta u^\alpha x^\mu.$$

At this stage, a choice is expected for the zeroth order of the ambiguities.

The two choices that we propose are

$$Y_{(0)} = -\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu\alpha}}{8\pi Gk^3} \left( u^\alpha \delta\Theta - \frac{\delta(*u^\alpha \Theta^*)}{2} \right) dx^\mu, \quad Z_{(0)} = \left( -\frac{\varepsilon}{2k} - \frac{\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2} + k^2 R}{16\pi Gk^3} \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}$$

$$Y_{(0)} = \frac{\mathcal{E}_{\mu\alpha}}{16\pi Gk^3} (\delta *u^\alpha \Theta^* - *u^\alpha \delta\Theta^*) dx^\mu, \quad Z_{(0)} = -\frac{\varepsilon}{2k} \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}.$$

# Holographic renormalization 3/4

**Weyl.** This renormalized presymplectic potential matches that obtained in the FFG gauge [de Haro-Solodukhin-Skenderis (2000)]

$$\Theta_{\text{ren}}^{\text{W}}[G; \delta G]|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2} T^{\mu\nu} \delta g_{\mu\nu} \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{k^2} T^{\mu\nu} (-u_\mu \delta u_\nu + *u_\mu \delta *u_\nu) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} .$$

It vanishes also for milder boundary conditions, since it is proportional to the variation of the boundary metric, meaning that one has to fix the Cartan frame only up to Lorentz transformations.

**Lorentz.** The prescription leads to the renormalized presymplectic potential

$$\Theta_{\text{ren}}^{\text{L}}[G; \delta G]|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = (J^\mu \delta u_\mu + J_*^\mu \delta *u_\mu) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} ,$$

where we have introduced the currents

$$J^\mu = -\frac{1}{k^2} T^{\mu\nu} u_\nu + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^5} u^\mu (\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2}) - \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Theta^* ,$$
$$J_*^\mu = \frac{1}{k^2} T^{\mu\nu} *u_\nu - \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k^5} *u^\mu (\Theta^2 - \Theta^{*2}) + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \mathcal{E}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \Theta .$$

A milder condition can be imposed to get stationarity of the action: this time it is the conformal class of the Cartan frame that needs to be fixed.



# Holographic renormalization 4/4

**AdS.** Renormalized presymplectic 2-form of the second prescription:

$$\begin{aligned}\omega_{\text{ren}}^{\text{L}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left( \delta(\sqrt{-g} J^\mu) \wedge \delta u_\mu + \delta(\sqrt{-g} J_*^\mu) \wedge \delta *u_\mu \right) \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \omega_{\text{ren}}^{\text{W}}|_{\partial} + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k^3} \nabla_\mu \left[ \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} u^\mu)}{\sqrt{-g}} \wedge \delta\Theta - \frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g} *u^\mu)}{\sqrt{-g}} \wedge \delta\Theta^* \right] \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\omega_{\text{ren}}^{\text{W}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-g}} \delta(\sqrt{-g} T^{\mu\nu}) \wedge \delta g_{\mu\nu} \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}.$$

It is this corner term that renders the presymplectic form finite in the  $k \rightarrow 0$  limit.

**Flat.** Flat limit of the renormalized presymplectic current:

$$\omega_{\text{ren}}^{\text{C}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \omega_{\text{ren}}^{\text{L}}|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \mathcal{D}^{-1} \left( \delta(\mathcal{D} j^\mu) \wedge \delta\mu_\mu^* + \delta(\mathcal{D} j_*^\mu) \wedge \delta\mu_\mu \right) \text{vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}},$$

where the density is

$$\mathcal{D} = |\varepsilon^{\mu\nu} \mu_\mu \mu_\nu^*| = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{k}.$$

# Anomalous holographic Ward identities – flat

Flat limit of the renormalized presymplectic potential:

$$\Theta_{\text{ren}}^{\text{C}}[G; \delta G]|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \Theta_{\text{ren}}^{\text{L}}[G; \delta G]|_{\partial\mathcal{M}} = (j^\mu \delta\mu_\mu + j_*^\mu \delta\mu_\mu^*) \text{vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}},$$

where the couple of currents

$$j = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k^3 J = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon v + \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} v_* \mathcal{F},$$
$$j_* = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k^2 J_* = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon v_* + \frac{1}{2} \alpha v.$$

↪ Carrollian energy–momentum tensor:

$$t^\mu{}_\nu = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} k \mathcal{T}^\mu{}_\nu = j^\mu \mu_\nu + j_*^\mu \mu_\nu^*.$$

↪ Holographic Ward identities:  $(D_\mu t^\mu{}_\nu = \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \nabla_\mu \mathcal{T}^\mu{}_\nu)$

$$D_\mu t^\mu{}_\nu = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{A}^\mu, \quad t^\mu{}_\mu = 0, \quad t^\mu{}_\nu \mu_\mu^* v^\nu = -\frac{\mathcal{F}}{8\pi\mathcal{G}}.$$

# Chern–Simons formulation

The isometry algebra of  $\text{AdS}_3$ , i.e. the algebra  $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2)$ , reads:

$$[M_B, M_C] = \epsilon_{BCD} M^D, \quad [M_B, P_C] = \epsilon_{BCD} P^D, \quad [P_B, P_C] = (k G)^2 \epsilon_{BCD} M^D,$$

We introduce a differential one-form, valued in this algebra:

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{G} \left( E_N{}^B P_B + \omega_N{}^B M_B \right) dx^N.$$

Up to bdy terms, one can rewrite the three-dimensional Einstein–Hilbert action:

$$S_{\text{EH}} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \int \text{Tr} \left( \mathcal{A} \wedge d\mathcal{A} + \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \right),$$

$$\text{Tr}(M_B M_C) = \text{Tr}(P_B P_C) = 0, \quad \text{Tr}(M_B P_C) = \eta_{BC}.$$

For  $k \neq 0$  one can take advantage of  $\mathfrak{so}(2, 2) \cong \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$S_{\text{EH}} = S_{\text{CS}}[A] - S_{\text{CS}}[\tilde{A}],$$

$$S_{\text{CS}}[A] = \frac{1}{16\pi G k} \int \text{Tr} \left( A \wedge dA + \frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A \right).$$

# Chern–Simons formulation: AdS charges 1/2

We choose the  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  basis

$$[J_m, J_n] = (m - n) J_{m+n}, \quad m, n \in \{-1, 0, 1\},$$

and implement the radial dependence of the connection  $A$  as a gauge transformation:

$$A(x^+, x^-, r) = b^{-1}(r) a_\mu(x^+, x^-) b(r) dx^\mu + b^{-1}(r) \partial_r b(r) dr,$$

with  $b(r)$  suitable  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  group element

$$b(r) = \exp(r k J_{-1}).$$

Then we have for the first gauge copy

$$a_+ = e^{\varphi+\zeta} J_1 - e^{-(\varphi+\zeta)} (\ell_+ - (\partial_+\zeta)^2 + \partial_+^2\zeta) J_{-1} + \partial_+(\varphi - \zeta) J_0,$$

$$a_- = -e^{-(\varphi+\zeta)} \partial_+\partial_-\zeta J_{-1} + \partial_-(\varphi + \zeta) J_0.$$

The parameters of the gauge transformations,  $dA = d\Lambda + [A, \Lambda]$ , are

$$\Lambda(x^+, x^-, r) = b^{-1}(r) \left( \sum_{m=-1}^{+1} \epsilon^m(x^+, x^-) J_m \right) b(r).$$

## Chern–Simons formulation: AdS charges 2/2

The associated surface charges are obtained by integrating, if possible, the following variations calculated at fixed value of the coordinate  $u$ :

$$\delta Q[\Lambda] = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \operatorname{Tr} [\Lambda \delta A_\phi] = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \operatorname{Tr} [b \Lambda b^{-1} (\delta a_+ + \delta a_-)],$$

$\hookrightarrow$  the radial coordinate is gauge out  $\Rightarrow$  no radial divergences

The total surface charges are then

$$Q_{\text{tot}}[\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}] = Q[\Lambda] - \tilde{Q}[\tilde{\Lambda}] = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}k} \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left[ \ell_+ Y^+ - \ell_- Y^- + 2\zeta (\partial_+ - \partial_-) h \right],$$

which are identical to those obtained in the metric formulation.

# Chern–Simons formulation: flat charges

We choose the  $\mathfrak{iso}(1, 2)$  basis ( $m, n \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ )

$$[M_m, M_n] = (m - n) M_{m+n}, \quad [M_m, P_n] = (m - n) P_{m+n}, \quad [P_m, P_n] = 0,$$

and we obtain the following CS connection:

$$\begin{aligned} a_\phi &= \frac{e^{-\varphi}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 4\pi G \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_u \beta)^2 + \partial_u \partial_\phi \beta \right) M_1 - \left( \partial_\phi \varphi - \partial_u \beta \right) M_0 - \frac{e^\varphi}{\sqrt{2}} M_{-1} \\ &+ \frac{e^\varphi \beta}{\sqrt{2}} P_{-1} + \frac{e^{-\varphi}}{\sqrt{2}} \left( 4\pi G (\alpha_0 - u \partial_\phi \varepsilon_0) - \partial_\phi^2 \beta + \partial_u \beta \partial_\phi \beta \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\beta}{2} (8\pi G \varepsilon_0 - (\partial_u \beta)^2 + 2 \partial_u \partial_\phi \beta) \right) P_1, \\ a_u &= \frac{e^{-\varphi}}{\sqrt{2}} \left[ \partial_u^2 \beta M_1 - \left( 4\pi G \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{2} (\partial_u \beta)^2 + \partial_u \partial_\phi \beta - \beta \partial_u^2 \beta \right) P_1 \right] \\ &- \partial_u \varphi M_0 + \frac{e^\varphi}{\sqrt{2}} P_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The total surface charges are then

$$\delta Q_{\text{tot}}[\Lambda] = \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \left[ \frac{1}{2} (H \delta \varepsilon_0 - Y \delta \alpha_0) + \frac{1}{4\pi G} \partial_u \tilde{h} \delta \beta \right].$$

# Chern–Simons formulation: boundary term

**AdS.** One can choose a different boundary term such that

$$S_{\text{tot}}[A, \tilde{A}] = S_{\text{EH}} + \frac{1}{16\pi\mathcal{G}k} \int d^2x \text{Tr} \left( A_u A_\phi - \tilde{A}_u \tilde{A}_\phi \right),$$

and its on-shell variation gives

$$\delta S_{\text{tot}}[A, \tilde{A}] = \frac{1}{2\pi\mathcal{G}k} \int \delta\zeta e^{-2\varphi} \partial_+ \partial_- \zeta \text{Vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}.$$

It corresponds to the “Lorentz” presymplectic potential in conformal gauge.

**Flat.** In the flat limit, the bdy term to be added is

$$S_{\text{bdy}}[\mathcal{A}] = \frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \int d^2x \text{Tr}(\mathcal{A}_\phi \mathcal{A}_u)$$

and the on-shell variation of the total action is

$$\delta S_{\text{tot}}[\mathcal{A}] = \delta S_{\text{EH}}[\mathcal{A}] + \delta S_{\text{bdy}}[\mathcal{A}] = -\frac{1}{8\pi\mathcal{G}} \int \delta\beta e^{-2\varphi} \partial_u^2 \beta \text{vol}_{\partial\mathcal{M}}.$$

It corresponds to the “Carroll-boost” presymplectic potential in conformal gauge.

# Asymptotic symmetry algebra

The charges generate global symmetries when acting on a generic functional  $F$  of the phase space as  $\delta_{(\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda})} F = \{Q_{\text{tot}}[\Lambda, \tilde{\Lambda}], F\}$ .

**AdS.** The non-vanishing brackets are ( $c = \frac{3}{2kG}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}i \{L_p^\pm, L_q^\pm\} &= (p - q) L_{p+q}^\pm + \frac{c}{12} p^3 \delta_{p+q,0}, \\i \{Z_{pq}, Z_{rs}\} &= -\frac{c}{3} (r - q) e^{2ik(q+s)u} \delta_{p+r, q+s}.\end{aligned}$$

**Flat.** The non-vanishing brackets are ( $c_M = \frac{3}{G}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}i \{Y_p, Y_q\} &= (p - q) Y_{p+q}, \\i \{Y_p, T_q\} &= (p - q) T_{p+q} + \frac{c_M}{12} p^3 \delta_{p+q,0}, \\i \{B_{pq}, B_{rs}\} &= -\frac{c_M}{6} (r - q) e^{2i(q+s)u} \delta_{p+r, q+s}.\end{aligned}$$