Lifshitz anisotropy from boundary conditions

Daniel Grumiller

Institute for Theoretical Physics TU Wien

Applied Newton–Cartan geometry Simons Center, March 2017



Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

 $z \rightarrow 0$ and near horizon physics

Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

z
ightarrow 0 and near horizon physics

Overarching long-term theme: how general is holography? More specifically: what is the landscape of gravity theories with Lifshitz anisotropy?

Quote from first sentence of workshop description: "Recent studies of non-AdS holography involving Lifshitz spacetimes have led to ..."



3rd image googling "landscape of theories" (first two: book covers "The Landscape of Qualitative Research") Daniel Grumiller — Lifshitz anisotropy from boundary conditions Motivation 4/25

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

$$t \to \lambda^z t \qquad \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x} \qquad r \to \lambda r$$

between time t and space \vec{x} .

Kachru, Liu, Mulligan '08

Their construction (and many others) use p-form gauge fields; others use massive gauge fields or massive gravitons

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

$$t \to \lambda^z t \qquad \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x} \qquad r \to \lambda r$$

between time t and space \vec{x} .

Motivations, applications and relations to Newton–Cartan: see other talks!

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

 $t \to \lambda^z t \qquad \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x} \qquad r \to \lambda r$

between time t and space \vec{x} .

Motivations, applications and relations to Newton–Cartan: see other talks!

Questions addressed in this talk:

(How) can we realize line-elements above on gravity side without introducing matter or higher derivative interactions?

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

 $t \to \lambda^z t \qquad \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x} \qquad r \to \lambda r$

between time t and space \vec{x} .

Motivations, applications and relations to Newton–Cartan: see other talks!

Questions addressed in this talk:

(How) can we realize line-elements above on gravity side without introducing matter or higher derivative interactions?

• What happens in the special case $z \rightarrow 0$?

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

 $t \to \lambda^z t \qquad \vec{x} \to \lambda \vec{x} \qquad r \to \lambda r$

between time t and space \vec{x} .

Motivations, applications and relations to Newton–Cartan: see other talks!

Questions **not** addressed in this talk:

(How) does this lead to applications in cond-mat or otherwise?
What are relations to flat space holography? work with/by Bagchi et al. '12-'16

Asymptotic line-element

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{2z}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

with real anisotropy parameter z has anisotropic ("Lifshitz") scaling

 $t \to \lambda^z t \qquad x \to \lambda x \qquad r \to \lambda r$

between time t and space x.

Motivations, applications and relations to Newton–Cartan: see other talks! Technical simplification: work in 2+1 dimensions!

Questions addressed in this talk:

- (How) can we realize line-elements above on gravity side without introducing matter or higher derivative interactions?
- What happens in the special case $z \rightarrow 0$?

In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable

Example:

$$\Phi(x \to \infty) = 0$$

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS

Example: Brown-Henneaux type of bc's $(aAdS_3)$:

$$\mathrm{d}s_{\mathrm{aAdS}}^2 = \mathrm{d}\rho^2 + \left(e^{2\rho}\eta_{\mu\nu} + \gamma_{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{O}(e^{-2\rho})\right)\,\mathrm{d}x^{\mu}\,\mathrm{d}x^{\nu}$$

with $\delta \gamma = \operatorname{arbitrary}$

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS
- ► No algorithm determining 'right' bc's always choice!

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS
- ► No algorithm determining 'right' bc's always choice!
- Algorithm exists to check consistency of bc's

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS
- No algorithm determining 'right' bc's always choice!
- Algorithm exists to check consistency of bc's
- Local diffeos and gauge trafos fall into three classes:
 - 1. Trafos that violate bc's (forbidden)
 - 2. Trafos that preserve bc's and remain pure gauge (trivial)
 - 3. Trafos that preserve bc's but are not pure gauge at the asymptotic boundary (asymptotic symmetries)

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS
- ► No algorithm determining 'right' bc's always choice!
- Algorithm exists to check consistency of bc's
- Local diffeos and gauge trafos fall into three classes:
 - 1. Trafos that violate bc's (forbidden)
 - 2. Trafos that preserve bc's and remain pure gauge (trivial)
 - 3. Trafos that preserve bc's but are not pure gauge at the asymptotic boundary (asymptotic symmetries)
- Canonical boundary charges (á la Regge-Teitelboim) generate asympotic symmetries

- In any physical theory need bc's imposed on fields
- In many instances 'natural' bc's suitable
- In gravity 'natural' bc's most unnatural: metric cannot be assumed to vanish asymptotically
- Instead, metric should approach some suitable class of metrics, like asymptotically flat or asymptotically (A)dS
- ► No algorithm determining 'right' bc's always choice!
- Algorithm exists to check consistency of bc's
- Local diffeos and gauge trafos fall into three classes:
 - 1. Trafos that violate bc's (forbidden)
 - 2. Trafos that preserve bc's and remain pure gauge (trivial)
 - 3. Trafos that preserve bc's but are not pure gauge at the asymptotic boundary (asymptotic symmetries)
- Canonical boundary charges (á la Regge-Teitelboim) generate asympotic symmetries
- Consistency means they are finite, integrable, non-trivial and conserved (in time)

Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

z
ightarrow 0 and near horizon physics

Simplest example: spin-3 gravity

$$S = I_{\rm CS}[A^+] - I_{\rm CS}[A^-]$$

in CS formulation

$$I_{\rm CS}[A^{\pm}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ connections A^{\pm} and suitable boundary conditions (more on boundary conditions on next slide!)

Henneaux, Rey '10; Campoleoni, Fredenhagen, Pfenninger, Theisen '10

Simplest example: spin-3 gravity

$$S = I_{\rm CS}[A^+] - I_{\rm CS}[A^-]$$

in CS formulation

$$I_{\rm CS}[A^{\pm}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ connections A^{\pm} and suitable boundary conditions

- ► Zuvielbein: $e \sim A^+ A^-$; higher-spin connection: $\omega \sim A^+ + A^-$
- Metric: $g \sim \langle ee \rangle$; Spin-3 field: $\phi \sim \langle eee \rangle$

Simplest example: spin-3 gravity

$$S = I_{\rm CS}[A^+] - I_{\rm CS}[A^-]$$

in CS formulation

$$I_{\rm CS}[A^{\pm}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ connections A^{\pm} and suitable boundary conditions

- ► Zuvielbein: $e \sim A^+ A^-$; higher-spin connection: $\omega \sim A^+ + A^-$
- Metric: $g \sim \langle ee \rangle$; Spin-3 field: $\phi \sim \langle eee \rangle$

$$\bullet \ e = e^A_\mu \,\mathrm{d}x^\mu = e^a_\mu J_a \,\mathrm{d}x^\mu + e^{ab}_\mu J_{ab} \,\mathrm{d}x^\mu$$

▶ J_a : generators of principally embedded $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{R})$

Simplest example: spin-3 gravity

$$S = I_{\rm CS}[A^+] - I_{\rm CS}[A^-]$$

in CS formulation

$$I_{\rm CS}[A^{\pm}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ connections A^{\pm} and suitable boundary conditions

- ▶ Zuvielbein: $e \sim A^+ A^-$; higher-spin connection: $\omega \sim A^+ + A^-$
- Metric: $g \sim \langle ee \rangle$; Spin-3 field: $\phi \sim \langle eee \rangle$
- $e = e^A_\mu \, \mathrm{d} x^\mu = e^a_\mu J_a \, \mathrm{d} x^\mu + e^{ab}_\mu J_{ab} \, \mathrm{d} x^\mu$
- ▶ J_a : generators of principally embedded $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{R})$
- Generalization to spin-N: replace sl(3) by sl(N)

Simplest example: spin-3 gravity

$$S = I_{\rm CS}[A^+] - I_{\rm CS}[A^-]$$

in CS formulation

$$I_{\rm CS}[A^{\pm}] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge \mathrm{d}A^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $SL(3,\mathbb{R})$ connections A^{\pm} and suitable boundary conditions

- ► Zuvielbein: $e \sim A^+ A^-$; higher-spin connection: $\omega \sim A^+ + A^-$
- Metric: $g \sim \langle ee \rangle$; Spin-3 field: $\phi \sim \langle eee \rangle$
- $e = e^A_\mu \,\mathrm{d} x^\mu = e^a_\mu J_a \,\mathrm{d} x^\mu + e^{ab}_\mu J_{ab} \,\mathrm{d} x^\mu$
- ▶ J_a : generators of principally embedded $sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \hookrightarrow sl(3, \mathbb{R})$
- Generalization to spin-N: replace sl(3) by sl(N)
- \blacktriangleright Further generalization: non-principal embeddings of $sl(2) \hookrightarrow sl(N)$

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1}(\rho, x^i) \left(\mathrm{d} + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}(x^i) \right) b_{\pm}(\rho, x^i)$$

with some space-time dependent group elements $b_\pm \in SL(N)$ with $\delta b_\pm = 0$

 $\mathsf{Drop}\,\pm\,\mathsf{decorations}$ in most of talk

Manifold topologically a cylinder or torus, with radial coordinate ρ and boundary coordinates x^i

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho, x^i) \left(d + \mathfrak{a}(x^i) \right) b(\rho, x^i)$$

with some space-time dependent group elements $b \in SL(N)$ with $\delta b = 0$

Standard AdS₃ approach in highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} \qquad b(\rho) = \exp\left(\rho L_0\right)$$

variations allowed by bc's:

$$\begin{split} \delta \mathfrak{a} &\sim \delta \mathcal{L}(x^i) L_{-1} + \delta \mathcal{W}(x^i) W_{-2} \qquad \delta b = 0\\ \text{Notation: } sl(2) \colon [L_n, L_m] = (n-m) L_{n+m}\\ sl(3) \colon [L_n, W_m] = (2n-m) W_{n+m} \text{ and}\\ [W_n, W_m] \propto (n-m)(2n^2 + 2m^2 - nm - 8) L_{n+m} \end{split}$$

spin-3 analog of Brown-Henneaux bc's

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho, x^i) \left(d + \mathfrak{a}(x^i) \right) b(\rho, x^i)$$

with some space-time dependent group elements $b\in SL(N)$ with $\delta b=0$

Standard AdS₃ approach in highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} \qquad b(\rho) = \exp\left(\rho L_0\right)$$

variations allowed by bc's:

$$\delta \mathfrak{a} \sim \delta \mathcal{L}(x^i) L_{-1} + \delta \mathcal{W}(x^i) W_{-2} \qquad \delta b = 0$$

Other bc's possible in same theory

Other embeddings possible for same gauge group

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho, x^i) \left(d + \mathfrak{a}(x^i) \right) b(\rho, x^i)$$

with some space-time dependent group elements $b\in SL(N)$ with $\delta b=0$

Standard AdS₃ approach in highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} \qquad b(\rho) = \exp\left(\rho L_0\right)$$

variations allowed by bc's:

$$\delta \mathfrak{a} \sim \delta \mathcal{L}(x^i) L_{-1} + \delta \mathcal{W}(x^i) W_{-2} \qquad \delta b = 0$$

- Other bc's possible in same theory
- Other embeddings possible for same gauge group
- ▶ sl(N) allows for Lifshitz exponents z = 1, 2, ... (N 1) and all possible fractions thereof
 Gary, DG, Rashkov '12

Simplest example Gary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14 ... in fact, too simple!

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

Simplest example Gary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14 ... in fact, too simple!

Spin-3 gravity in principal embedding with almost same bc's as before with additional terms:

 $\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$

► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W₂, W₁ and L₀

Simplest example ... in fact, too simple!

Gary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14 see also Gutperle et al. '13, '14, '15

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

- ► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W₂, W₁ and L₀
- ▶ Line-element for "massless" solution L = W = 0 (spin-3 analog of massless BTZ)

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

Simplest example ... in fact, too simple! Gary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14 see also Gutperle et al. '13, '14, '15

Spin-3 gravity in principal embedding with almost same bc's as before with additional terms:

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

- ► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W₂, W₁ and L₀
- ▶ Line-element for "massless" solution L = W = 0 (spin-3 analog of massless BTZ)

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

 This is a Lifshitz spacetime with z = 2! Technical origin of possible values of z in spin-N gravity: generators with sl(2)-weights 2, 3, ... N in connection a lead by BCH-formula (commuting b = e^{ρL₀} through in b⁻¹ab) to exponents e^ρ, e^{2ρ}, ..., e^{(N-1)ρ} in zuvielbein Simplest example ... in fact, too simple! Gary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14 see also Gutperle et al. '13, '14, '15

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

- ► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W₂, W₁ and L₀
- ► Line-element for "massless" solution L = W = 0 (spin-3 analog of massless BTZ)

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

- This is a Lifshitz spacetime with z = 2!
- While consistent from CS-perspective, zuvielbein is degenerate in construction above Lei, Ross '15
 On plus side, example above is inequivalent to standard spin-3 black holes with spin-3 chemical potentials, while example in Gutperle, Hijano, Samani '13 is equivalent to them

Simplest exampleGary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14... in fact, too simple!But similar examples work for Schrödinger! (even in higher D)

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

- ► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W_2 , W_1 and L_0
- ▶ Line-element for "massless" solution L = W = 0 (spin-3 analog of massless BTZ)

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

- This is a Lifshitz spacetime with z = 2!
- While consistent from CS-perspective, zuvielbein is degenerate in construction above Lei, Ross '15
- Similar construction works for Schrödinger solutions (also in higher dimensions), where zuvielbein is non-degenerate Lei, Peng '15

Simplest exampleGary, DG, Prohazka, Rey '14... in fact, too simple!But similar examples work for Schrödinger! (even in higher D)

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim W_2 + L_1 + \mathcal{L}(x^i)L_{-1} + \mathcal{W}(x^i)W_{-2} + \text{extra terms}$$

- ► Extra terms fully determined by asymptotic EOM; generate terms proportional to generators W_2 , W_1 and L_0
- ▶ Line-element for "massless" solution L = W = 0 (spin-3 analog of massless BTZ)

$$ds^{2} = -\frac{dt^{2}}{r^{4}} + \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left(dr^{2} + dx^{2} \right)$$

- This is a Lifshitz spacetime with z = 2!
- While consistent from CS-perspective, zuvielbein is degenerate in construction above Lei, Ross '15
- Similar construction works for Schrödinger solutions (also in higher dimensions), where zuvielbein is non-degenerate Lei, Peng '15
- ▶ HS theories (without matter) can yield anisotropic scaling!
Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

z
ightarrow 0 and near horizon physics

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation
- ► Simplest example: SL(2, ℝ) × U(1) lower spin gravity/warped CFT correspondence Hofman, Rollier '14

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation
- ► Simplest example: SL(2, ℝ) × U(1) lower spin gravity/warped CFT correspondence Hofman, Rollier '14
- Can in principle take anything, but need non-degenerate bilinear form

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation
- ► Simplest example: SL(2, ℝ) × U(1) lower spin gravity/warped CFT correspondence Hofman, Rollier '14
- Can in principle take anything, but need non-degenerate bilinear form
- Pertinent examples: take non-relativistic algebras Bergshoeff, Rosseel '16; Hartong, Lei, Obers '16

specific extensions of Bargmann, Newton–Hooke, Schrödinger and supersymmetric Bargmann

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation
- ► Simplest example: SL(2, ℝ) × U(1) lower spin gravity/warped CFT correspondence Hofman, Rollier '14
- Can in principle take anything, but need non-degenerate bilinear form
- Pertinent examples: take non-relativistic algebras Bergshoeff, Rosseel '16; Hartong, Lei, Obers '16

Key aspects:

Have non-relativistic/anisotropic algebra already as input in action, not only through bc's

- ▶ CS theory with gauge group **not** containing $SL(2, \mathbb{R}) \times SL(2, \mathbb{R})$
- suitable bc's on connection allowing gravity-like interpretation
- ► Simplest example: SL(2, ℝ) × U(1) lower spin gravity/warped CFT correspondence Hofman, Rollier '14
- Can in principle take anything, but need non-degenerate bilinear form
- Pertinent examples: take non-relativistic algebras Bergshoeff, Rosseel '16; Hartong, Lei, Obers '16

Key aspects:

- Have non-relativistic/anisotropic algebra already as input in action, not only through bc's
- Still, bc's play crucial role for establishing theory with anisotropy

Carroll gravity as example

Bergshoeff, DG, Prohazka, Rosseel '16

Take CS action with connection (a = 1, 2)

$$A = \tau \operatorname{H} + e^{a} \operatorname{P}_{a} + \omega \operatorname{J} + B^{a} \operatorname{G}_{a}$$

in the spin-2 Carroll algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{P}_a] &= \epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{P}_b \\ [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{G}_a] &= \epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{G}_b \\ [\mathsf{P}_a,\,\mathsf{G}_b] &= -\epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{H} \end{aligned}$$

with non-degenerate bi-linear form

$$\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}
angle = -1 \qquad \langle \mathbf{P}_a, \mathbf{G}_b
angle = \delta_{ab}$$

Carroll gravity as example

Bergshoeff, DG, Prohazka, Rosseel '16

Take CS action with connection (a = 1, 2)

$$A = \tau \operatorname{H} + e^{a} \operatorname{P}_{a} + \omega \operatorname{J} + B^{a} \operatorname{G}_{a}$$

in the spin-2 Carroll algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{P}_a] &= \epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{P}_b \\ [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{G}_a] &= \epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{G}_b \\ [\mathsf{P}_a,\,\mathsf{G}_b] &= -\epsilon_{ab}\,\mathsf{H} \end{aligned}$$

with non-degenerate bi-linear form

$$\langle \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J} \rangle = -1 \qquad \langle \mathbf{P}_a, \mathbf{G}_b \rangle = \delta_{ab}$$

Typical question in holographic correspondences on gravity side:

Are there **nice** bc's for this theory?

Asymptotically Carroll geometries and ∞ extension of the Carroll algebra

 Asymptotic Carroll geometry (2d metric plus 1-form) from CS connection:

$$ds_{(2)}^2 = e^a e^b \,\delta_{ab} = \left(\rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho)\right) \,d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \,d\rho \,d\varphi + d\rho^2$$

$$\tau = dt + ?$$

Asymptotically Carroll geometries and ∞ extension of the Carroll algebra

 Asymptotic Carroll geometry (2d metric plus 1-form) from CS connection:

$$ds_{(2)}^2 = e^a e^b \,\delta_{ab} = \left(\rho^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho)\right) \,d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(1) \,d\rho \,d\varphi + d\rho^2$$

$$\tau = dt + ?$$

Our proposed bc's are given by connections of the form

$$A = b^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}) b \qquad b = e^{\rho \mathfrak{P}_2}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} &= -\mathrm{J} + h(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathrm{H} + p_a(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathrm{P}_a + g_a(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathrm{G}_a \\ \mathfrak{a}_t &= \mu(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathrm{H} \end{split}$$

where $\delta b = \delta \mu = 0$ and $\delta h, \delta p_a, \delta g_a \neq 0$ (i.e., μ is source, rest vev's)

Asymptotically Carroll geometries and ∞ extension of the Carroll algebra

 Asymptotic Carroll geometry (2d metric plus 1-form) from CS connection:

$$ds_{(2)}^{2} = \left[\left(\rho + p_{1}(t, \varphi) \right)^{2} + p_{2}(t, \varphi)^{2} \right] d\varphi^{2} + 2p_{2}(t, \varphi) d\varphi d\rho + d\rho^{2}$$

$$\tau = \mu(t, \varphi) dt + \left(h(t, \varphi) - \rho g_{1}(t, \varphi) \right) d\varphi$$

Our proposed bc's are given by connections of the form

$$A = b^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}) b \qquad b = e^{\rho \mathbf{P}_2}$$

with

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{\mathfrak{a}}_{\varphi} &= -\mathbf{J} + h(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathbf{H} + p_a(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathbf{P}_a + g_a(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathbf{G}_a \\ \mathbf{\mathfrak{a}}_t &= \mu(t,\,\varphi)\,\mathbf{H} \end{split}$$

where $\delta b = \delta \mu = 0$ and $\delta h, \delta p_a, \delta g_a \neq 0$ (i.e., μ is source, rest vev's)

► Leads to line-elements above, i.e., asymptotic Carroll geometries

Background independent result for canonical boundary charges:

$$\delta Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \langle \lambda \delta \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$

Background independent result for canonical boundary charges:

$$\delta Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \langle \lambda \delta \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$

 \blacktriangleright manifestly finite at large $\rho,$ non-trivial and integrable in field space

$$Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \left(-\lambda^{\mathsf{J}} h + \lambda^{\mathsf{P}_a} g_a + \lambda^{\mathsf{G}_a} p_a \right) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

Background independent result for canonical boundary charges:

$$\delta Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \langle \lambda \delta \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$

- manifestly finite at large ρ , non-trivial and integrable in field space

$$Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \left(-\lambda^{\mathsf{J}} h + \lambda^{\mathsf{P}_a} g_a + \lambda^{\mathsf{G}_a} p_a \right) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

► (asymptotic) EOM imply "holographic Ward id's":

$$\partial_t p_a = \partial_t g_a = 0 \qquad \partial_t h = \partial_\varphi \mu$$

Background independent result for canonical boundary charges:

$$\delta Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \langle \lambda \delta \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$

- manifestly finite at large ρ , non-trivial and integrable in field space

$$Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \left(-\lambda^{\mathsf{J}} h + \lambda^{\mathsf{P}_a} g_a + \lambda^{\mathsf{G}_a} p_a \right) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

► (asymptotic) EOM imply "holographic Ward id's":

$$\partial_t p_a = \partial_t g_a = 0 \qquad \partial_t h = \partial_\varphi \mu$$

charges conserved in time as consequence of holographic Ward id's

$$\partial_t Q[\lambda] \big|_{\rm EOM} = 0$$

Background independent result for canonical boundary charges:

$$\delta Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \langle \lambda \delta \mathfrak{a} \rangle$$

- manifestly finite at large ρ , non-trivial and integrable in field space

$$Q[\lambda] = \frac{k}{2\pi} \oint \left(-\lambda^{\mathsf{J}} h + \lambda^{\mathsf{P}_a} g_a + \lambda^{\mathsf{G}_a} p_a \right) \, \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

► (asymptotic) EOM imply "holographic Ward id's":

$$\partial_t p_a = \partial_t g_a = 0 \qquad \partial_t h = \partial_\varphi \mu$$

charges conserved in time as consequence of holographic Ward id's

$$\partial_t Q[\lambda]\big|_{\rm EOM} = 0$$

► Fourier modes of charges lead to infinite tower of generators ⇒ infinite enhancement of global Carroll algebra reminiscent of AdS₃/CFT₂ ⇒ meaningful (and hopefully useful) set of bc's!

recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra

$$\begin{split} [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{P}^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{P}^b\\ [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{G}^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{G}^b\\ [\mathsf{P}^a,\,\mathsf{G}^b] &= -\epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{H} \end{split}$$

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} \left[\textbf{J},\,\textbf{P}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\textbf{P}_n^b \\ \left[\textbf{J},\,\textbf{G}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\textbf{G}_n^b \\ \left[\textbf{P}_n^a,\,\textbf{G}_m^b \right] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab} \right) \textbf{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} [\mathtt{J},\,\mathtt{P}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathtt{P}_n^b \\ [\mathtt{J},\,\mathtt{G}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathtt{G}_n^b \\ [\mathtt{P}_n^a,\,\mathtt{G}_m^b] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab}\right)\,\mathtt{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- Notable features:
 - \blacktriangleright only spatial translations ${\bf P}^a$ and Carroll boosts ${\bf G}^a$ get infinite lift, but not time translations H or rotations J
 - there is an additional central extension in the last commutator
 - global Carroll algebra is subalgebra (n = 0)

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} \left[\mathtt{J},\,\mathtt{P}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathtt{P}_n^b \\ \left[\mathtt{J},\,\mathtt{G}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathtt{G}_n^b \\ \left[\mathtt{P}_n^a,\,\mathtt{G}_m^b \right] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab} \right) \mathtt{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- Notable features:
 - \blacktriangleright only spatial translations ${\bf P}^a$ and Carroll boosts ${\bf G}^a$ get infinite lift, but not time translations H or rotations J
 - there is an additional central extension in the last commutator
 - global Carroll algebra is subalgebra (n = 0)
- first of many possible examples of Brown–Henneaux type analysis

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} \left[\textbf{J},\,\textbf{P}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\textbf{P}_n^b \\ \left[\textbf{J},\,\textbf{G}_n^a \right] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\textbf{G}_n^b \\ \left[\textbf{P}_n^a,\,\textbf{G}_m^b \right] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab} \right) \textbf{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- Notable features:
 - \blacktriangleright only spatial translations ${\bf P}^a$ and Carroll boosts ${\bf G}^a$ get infinite lift, but not time translations H or rotations J
 - there is an additional central extension in the last commutator
 - global Carroll algebra is subalgebra (n = 0)
- first of many possible examples of Brown–Henneaux type analysis
- numerous generalizations/modifications of bc's conceivable

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{P}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{P}_n^b \\ [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{G}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{G}_n^b \\ [\mathsf{P}_n^a,\,\mathsf{G}_m^b] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab}\right)\,\mathsf{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- Notable features:
 - \blacktriangleright only spatial translations ${\bf P}^a$ and Carroll boosts ${\bf G}^a$ get infinite lift, but not time translations H or rotations J
 - there is an additional central extension in the last commutator
 - global Carroll algebra is subalgebra (n = 0)
- first of many possible examples of Brown–Henneaux type analysis
- numerous generalizations/modifications of bc's conceivable
- should work for extended Bargmann, Newton-Hooke, Schrödinger, ...

- recall: gauge algebra was spin-2 Carroll algebra
- evaluating $\delta_{\lambda_1}Q[\lambda_2] = \{Q[\lambda_1], Q[\lambda_2]\}$ yields ASA

$$\begin{split} [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{P}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{P}_n^b \\ [\mathsf{J},\,\mathsf{G}_n^a] &= \epsilon^{ab}\,\mathsf{G}_n^b \\ [\mathsf{P}_n^a,\,\mathsf{G}_m^b] &= -\left(\epsilon^{ab} + in\delta^{ab}\right)\,\mathsf{H}\,\delta_{n+m,\,0} \end{split}$$

- Notable features:
 - \blacktriangleright only spatial translations ${\bf P}^a$ and Carroll boosts ${\bf G}^a$ get infinite lift, but not time translations H or rotations J
 - there is an additional central extension in the last commutator
 - global Carroll algebra is subalgebra (n = 0)
- first of many possible examples of Brown–Henneaux type analysis
- numerous generalizations/modifications of bc's conceivable
- should work for extended Bargmann, Newton-Hooke, Schrödinger, ...

Carroll gravity intriguing theory with numerous possible generalizations

Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

z
ightarrow 0 and near horizon physics

• One example would be a CS theory based on $SL(N) \times U(1)$ (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting
- Study instead models that arise through ultra- or non-relativistic contraction of relativistic higher spin theories

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting
- Study instead models that arise through ultra- or non-relativistic contraction of relativistic higher spin theories
- Look for spin-3 version of various sequential contractions of (A)dS symmetry algebra (in spin-2 case: Poincaré, Para-Poincaré, Newton-Hooke, Galilei, Para-Galilei, Carroll, Static; see Bacry, Lévy-Leblond '68)

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting
- Study instead models that arise through ultra- or non-relativistic contraction of relativistic higher spin theories
- Look for spin-3 version of various sequential contractions of (A)dS symmetry algebra (in spin-2 case: Poincaré, Para-Poincaré, Newton-Hooke, Galilei, Para-Galilei, Carroll, Static; see Bacry, Lévy-Leblond '68)
- ► These kinematical spin-3 algebras may be better motivated physically

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting
- Study instead models that arise through ultra- or non-relativistic contraction of relativistic higher spin theories
- Look for spin-3 version of various sequential contractions of (A)dS symmetry algebra (in spin-2 case: Poincaré, Para-Poincaré, Newton-Hooke, Galilei, Para-Galilei, Carroll, Static; see Bacry, Lévy-Leblond '68)
- ► These kinematical spin-3 algebras may be better motivated physically
- General structure of algebra with İnönü–Wigner contraction parameter $\epsilon \to 0$ (\mathfrak{h} is subalgebra of original Lie algebra and \mathfrak{i} the remainder)

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] \sim \mathfrak{h} \qquad [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i}] \sim \epsilon \,\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{i} \to \mathfrak{i} \qquad [\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{i}] \sim \epsilon^2 \,\mathfrak{h} + \epsilon \,\mathfrak{i} \to 0$$

- ► One example would be a CS theory based on SL(N) × U(1) (higher spin in one chiral sector and lower spin in another)
- Not sure if random examples physically interesting
- Study instead models that arise through ultra- or non-relativistic contraction of relativistic higher spin theories
- Look for spin-3 version of various sequential contractions of (A)dS symmetry algebra (in spin-2 case: Poincaré, Para-Poincaré, Newton-Hooke, Galilei, Para-Galilei, Carroll, Static; see Bacry, Lévy-Leblond '68)
- ► These kinematical spin-3 algebras may be better motivated physically
- General structure of algebra with İnönü–Wigner contraction parameter $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ (\mathfrak{h} is subalgebra of original Lie algebra and \mathfrak{i} the remainder)

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] \sim \mathfrak{h} \qquad [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i}] \sim \epsilon \,\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{i} \to \mathfrak{i} \qquad [\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{i}] \sim \epsilon^2 \,\mathfrak{h} + \epsilon \,\mathfrak{i} \to 0$$

 Obtain zoo of higher lower non-relativstic higher spin theories, e.g. spin-3 versions of Carroll, Galilei and extended Bargmann algebras Example: spin-3 extended Bargmann Bergshoeff, DG, Prohazka, Rosseel '16

Medina–Revoy theorem allows to extend Galilei to extended Bargmann (Galilei + 2 central ext's; non-degenerate bilinear form)

Special case: if algebra comes from an Inönü–Wigner contraction

$$[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] \sim \mathfrak{h}$$
 $[\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i}] \sim \mathfrak{i}$ $[\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{i}] = 0$

then MR theorem always applicable: extends algebra by dual h^* and yields commutations relations

$$\begin{split} [\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{i}] &\sim \mathfrak{h}^* & [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}] \sim \mathfrak{h}^* \\ [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i}] &\sim \mathfrak{i} & [\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{h}^*] \sim \mathfrak{h}^* \\ [\mathfrak{h}^*,\mathfrak{i}] &= 0 & [\mathfrak{h}^*,\mathfrak{h}^*] = 0 \end{split}$$

and non-degenerate invariant bilinear form

$$\langle \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}^* \rangle = \delta \qquad \langle \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{i} \rangle = g \qquad \langle \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h} \rangle = \operatorname{arbitray} \, (\operatorname{can} \, \operatorname{be} \, 0)$$

Example: spin-3 extended Bargmann Bergshoeff, DG, Prohazka, Rosseel '16

- Medina–Revoy theorem allows to extend Galilei to extended Bargmann (Galilei + 2 central ext's; non-degenerate bilinear form)
- Applying same methods to spin-3 Galilei yields spin-3 extended Bargmann (2 versions exist, one given below with 2 + 4 ext's)

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{G}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{G}_{m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{G}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = -\epsilon_{m(a} \mathbf{G}_{b)m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{a}^{*}, \mathbf{J}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{J}^{*}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{P}_{m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{P}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = -\epsilon_{m(a} \mathbf{P}_{b)m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}_{a}^{*}, \mathbf{\bullet}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{H}^{*} / \mathbf{J}^{*}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{P}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{P}_{m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{G}_{ab} \end{bmatrix} = -\epsilon_{m(a} \mathbf{P}_{b)m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{a}, \mathbf{J}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{H}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{a}, \mathbf{G}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{H}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{a}, \mathbf{\bullet}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \Delta_{ab} (\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{P}) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}_{a}, \mathbf{H}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{H}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{a}, \mathbf{G}_{b} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{ab} \mathbf{J}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{a}, \mathbf{J}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \Delta_{ab} (\mathbf{P}) \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{ab}, \mathbf{\bullet}_{c} \end{bmatrix} = -\delta_{c(a} \epsilon_{b)m} \mathbf{G}_{m} / \mathbf{P}_{m}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{H}_{a}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{H}_{m}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{a}, \mathbf{G}_{bc} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{a(b} \mathbf{H}_{c}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{ab}, \mathbf{J}_{c} \end{bmatrix} = -\delta_{c(a} \epsilon_{b)m} \mathbf{P}_{m}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{J}_{a}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{J}_{m}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{a}, \mathbf{P}_{bc} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{a(b} \mathbf{J}_{c}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{ab}, \mathbf{G}_{cd} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{(a(c} \delta_{d)b)} \mathbf{J}^{*}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{H}_{a}^{*} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{J}_{m}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{P}_{a}, \mathbf{G}_{bc} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{a(b} \mathbf{J}_{c}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{ab}, \mathbf{G}_{cd} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{(a(c} \delta_{d)b)} \mathbf{H}^{*}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}, \mathbf{\bullet}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{J}_{m}^{*} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{J}^{*}, \mathbf{J}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{J}_{m}^{*} \qquad \Delta_{ab} (\mathbf{P}) \coloneqq \epsilon_{ma} \mathbf{P}_{bm} + \epsilon_{ba} \mathbf{P}_{mm}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{J}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{H}_{m} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H}^{*}, \mathbf{\bullet}_{a} \end{bmatrix} = \epsilon_{am} \mathbf{H}_{m}^{*} / \mathbf{J}_{m}^{*} \qquad \mathbf{\bullet}_{a} \coloneqq \mathbf{J}_{a} / \mathbf{H}_{a} (\text{either/or)$$

Daniel Grumiller - Lifshitz anisotropy from boundary conditions

Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

z
ightarrow 0 and near horizon physics

AdS₃ bc's in Einstein gravity

Even restricting to Einstein gravity in three dimensions (with negative cosmological constant) different choices exist for bc's and their associated asymptotic symmetry algebras:

Brown–Henneaux '86: two Virasoros (2d conformal algebra)
AdS₃ bc's in Einstein gravity

Even restricting to Einstein gravity in three dimensions (with negative cosmological constant) different choices exist for bc's and their associated asymptotic symmetry algebras:

- Brown–Henneaux '86: two Virasoros (2d conformal algebra)
- Compere-Song-Strominger '13: Virasoro plus u(1) current algebra
- ▶ Troessaert '13: 2 Virasoros plus 2 u(1) current algebras
- Avery–Poojary–Suryanarayana '13: Virasoro plus sl(2) current algebra
- Donnay–Giribet–Gonzalez–Pino '15: centerless warped conformal
- Afshar–Detournay–DG–Oblak '15: twisted warped conformal

AdS₃ bc's in Einstein gravity

Even restricting to Einstein gravity in three dimensions (with negative cosmological constant) different choices exist for bc's and their associated asymptotic symmetry algebras:

- Brown–Henneaux '86: two Virasoros (2d conformal algebra)
- Compere-Song-Strominger '13: Virasoro plus u(1) current algebra
- ▶ Troessaert '13: 2 Virasoros plus 2 u(1) current algebras
- Avery–Poojary–Suryanarayana '13: Virasoro plus sl(2) current algebra
- Donnay–Giribet–Gonzalez–Pino '15: centerless warped conformal
- Afshar–Detournay–DG–Oblak '15: twisted warped conformal
- ▶ DG-Riegler '16: two sl(2) current algebras (most general case!)

AdS₃ bc's in Einstein gravity

Even restricting to Einstein gravity in three dimensions (with negative cosmological constant) different choices exist for bc's and their associated asymptotic symmetry algebras:

- Brown–Henneaux '86: two Virasoros (2d conformal algebra)
- Compere-Song-Strominger '13: Virasoro plus u(1) current algebra
- ▶ Troessaert '13: 2 Virasoros plus 2 u(1) current algebras
- Avery–Poojary–Suryanarayana '13: Virasoro plus sl(2) current algebra
- Donnay–Giribet–Gonzalez–Pino '15: centerless warped conformal
- Afshar–Detournay–DG–Oblak '15: twisted warped conformal
- ▶ DG-Riegler '16: two sl(2) current algebras (most general case!)

In the following I use neither of these bc's!

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ : $a^{\pm} - b^{-1}(d + a^{\pm})b_{\pm} = b_{\pm} - e^{\pm \rho L_0}$

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} &= L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm} L_{\mp} \\ \mathfrak{a}_{t} &= \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm} L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \, \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp} \end{aligned}$$

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ : $A^{\pm} = b^{-1}(d + \sigma^{\pm})b$ $b = c^{\pm \rho L_0}$

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} = L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{t} = \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp}$$

If sources depend on charges, $\mu^{\pm} = \delta H^{\pm} / \delta \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$, then get new bc's $\mu^{\pm} = 1$: Brown–Henneaux

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ :

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} = L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{t} = \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp}$$

If sources depend on charges, $\mu^{\pm}=\delta H^{\pm}/\delta {\cal L}_{\pm},$ then get new bc's

•
$$\mu^{\pm} = 1$$
: Brown-Henneaux
• $\mu^{\pm} = \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$: KdV, $\pm \dot{\mathcal{L}}_{\pm} = 3\mathcal{L}_{\pm}\mathcal{L}'_{\pm} - 2\mathcal{L}'''_{\pm}$

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ :

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} = L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{t} = \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp}$$

If sources depend on charges, $\mu^{\pm}=\delta H^{\pm}/\delta {\cal L}_{\pm},$ then get new bc's

Reminder: Gelfand-Dikii polynomials defined by recursion relation

$$R_{(k+1)}^{\pm \prime} = \frac{k+1}{2k+1} D^{\pm} R_{(k)}^{\pm}$$
 with $R_{(0)}^{\pm} = 1$

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ :

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} = L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{t} = \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp}$$

If sources depend on charges, $\mu^\pm = \delta H^\pm/\delta {\cal L}_\pm$, then get new bc's

$$z = 2k + 1$$
 $t \to \lambda^z t$ $\varphi \to \lambda \varphi$ $\mathcal{L}_{\pm} \to \lambda^{-2} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$

Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Recall AdS₃ Brown–Henneaux bc's in presence of source/chemical potential μ :

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}^{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad b_{\pm} = e^{\pm \rho L_0}$$

with

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\varphi} = L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp}$$
$$\mathfrak{a}_{t} = \mu^{\pm} \left(L_{\pm} + \mathcal{L}_{\pm}L_{\mp} \right) \mp \mu^{\pm \prime} L_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \mu^{\pm \prime \prime} L_{\mp}$$

If sources depend on charges, $\mu^{\pm}=\delta H^{\pm}/\delta {\cal L}_{\pm},$ then get new bc's

μ[±] = 1: Brown-Henneaux
μ[±] = L_±: KdV, ±L_± = 3L_±L'_± - 2L'''_±
μ[±] = R[±]_(k) (Gelfand-Dikii polynomial): kth representative of KdV hierarchy, ±L_± = D[±]R[±]_(k) with D[±] = L'_± + 2L_±∂_φ - 2∂³_φ
key observation for this talk: EOM invariant under anisotropic scaling

$$z = 2k + 1$$
 $t \to \lambda^z t$ $\varphi \to \lambda \varphi$ $\mathcal{L}_{\pm} \to \lambda^{-2} \mathcal{L}_{\pm}$

Anisotropic scaling of Lifshitz type in Einstein gravity

Outline

Motivation

Higher spin gravity

Lower spin gravity

Higher lower spin gravity

Einstein gravity

$z \rightarrow 0$ and near horizon physics

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for $\rho \rightarrow 0$) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

Symmetry algebra: infinite copies of Heisenberg algebras

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

- Symmetry algebra: infinite copies of Heisenberg algebras
- Explicit construction of all soft hair descendants

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

- Symmetry algebra: infinite copies of Heisenberg algebras
- Explicit construction of all soft hair descendants
- Explicit proposal for all microstates of BTZ Afshar, DG, Sheikh-Jabbari '16

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

Astonishingly simple and universal* result for entropy

$$S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$$

To give an idea how much simpler the formula above is in higher spin theories than usual entropy formulas, here is the same result expressed not in terms of charges J_0^{\pm} for our bc's, but for Henneaux–Rey–Campoleoni–Fredenhagen–Pfenninger–Theisen bc's (see Guperle, Kraus '11; Ammon, Gutperle, Kraus, Perlmutter '12)

$$S = 2\pi\sqrt{2\pi k} \left(\sqrt{\mathcal{L}_{+}} \cos\left[\frac{1}{3} \arcsin\left(\frac{3}{8}\sqrt{\frac{3k}{2\pi\mathcal{L}_{+}^{3}}}\mathcal{W}_{+}\right)\right] + (+ \rightarrow -)\right)$$

*Applies to AdS, flat space, higher spins, higher derivatives and higher dimensions

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

Astonishingly simple and universal result for entropy

$$S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$$

Line-element ds² = -ζ²r² dt² + dr² + J² dφ² + ... has anisotropic scaling symmetry like Lifshitz with z → 0

$$t \to t \qquad \varphi \to \lambda \varphi \qquad \mathcal{J} \to \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{J}$$

Technical notes: scaling of \mathcal{J} induced by Sugawara construction $\mathcal{L} \sim \mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{J}'$ from KdV-type scaling $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \lambda^{-2} \mathcal{L}$ Miura map shows that Rindler acceleration ζ does not scale

Suggests KdV level k = -1/2! (recall: k = 2z + 1) If true, Lifshitz entropy formula must reproduce simple result above!

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

Astonishingly simple and universal result for entropy

$$S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$$

► Line-element $ds^2 = -\zeta^2 r^2 dt^2 + dr^2 + \mathcal{J}^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$ has anisotropic scaling symmetry like Lifshitz with $z \to 0$

$$t \to t \qquad \varphi \to \lambda \varphi \qquad \mathcal{J} \to \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{J}$$

► Result follows indeed from z → 0 limit of entropy formula for theories with Lifshitz scaling in 1+1 dimensions (with Δ_± = J₀[±])

$$S = 2\pi (1+z) \sum_{\pm} \Delta_{\pm}^{1/(1+z)} \exp\left(\frac{z}{1+z} \ln\left(\Delta_{0}^{\pm} [1/z]/z\right)\right)$$

note: ground state energies $\Delta_0^{\pm}[z] = \frac{k}{2} \frac{1}{1+z} (-1)^{(z-1)/2}$ also match with gravity side, but not needed in entropy formula for $z \to 0!$

Daniel Grumiller — Lifshitz anisotropy from boundary conditions

 $z \rightarrow 0$ and near horizon physics

Non-extremal horizon (Rindler spacetime for ho
ightarrow 0) achieved by bc's

$$A^{\pm} = b_{\pm}^{-1} (d + \mathfrak{a}_{\pm}) b_{\pm} \qquad \mathfrak{a}^{\pm} = (\pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm} d\varphi + \zeta^{\pm} dt) L_{0} \qquad \delta \zeta^{\pm} = 0$$

Interesting features of our bc's:

Astonishingly simple and universal result for entropy

$$S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$$

Line-element ds² = -ζ²r² dt² + dr² + J² dφ² + ... has anisotropic scaling symmetry like Lifshitz with z → 0

$$t \to t \qquad \varphi \to \lambda \varphi \qquad \mathcal{J} \to \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{J}$$

► Result follows indeed from z → 0 limit of entropy formula for theories with Lifshitz scaling in 1+1 dimensions (with Δ_± = J₀[±])

$$S = 2\pi (1+z) \sum_{\pm} \Delta_{\pm}^{1/(1+z)} \exp\left(\frac{z}{1+z} \ln\left(\Delta_{0}^{\pm} [1/z]/z\right)\right)$$

▶ Interesting math question: why (generalized) Gelfand–Dikii polynomial $R_{(-1/2)}$ and KdV level k = -1/2 special?

Daniel Grumiller — Lifshitz anisotropy from boundary conditions

Summary

Anisotropic spacetimes of Lifshitz or Schrödinger type can be obtained through imposition of suitable bc's in

- Higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Gary, Rashkov; Afshar, Riegler; Prohazka, Rey; Breunhölder '12-15
- Lower spin theories 2+1 work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Higher lower spin Non-relativistic higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Einstein gravity in 2+1

work by Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Summary

Anisotropic spacetimes of Lifshitz or Schrödinger type can be obtained through imposition of suitable bc's in

- Higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Gary, Rashkov; Afshar, Riegler; Prohazka, Rey; Breunhölder '12-15
- Lower spin theories 2+1
 - work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Higher lower spin Non-relativistic higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Einstein gravity in 2+1

work by Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Lifshitz scaling in limit $z \rightarrow 0$ interpreted from near horizon perspective work with Afshar, Detournay, Merbis, Perez, Tempo, Troncoso, Sheikh-Jabbari, Yavarntanoo '16 [explicit construction of all BTZ microstates!]

 $S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$

Summary

Anisotropic spacetimes of Lifshitz or Schrödinger type can be obtained through imposition of suitable bc's in

- Higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Gary, Rashkov; Afshar, Riegler; Prohazka, Rey; Breunhölder '12-15
- Lower spin theories 2+1
 - work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Higher lower spin Non-relativistic higher spin theories in 2+1 work with Bergshoeff, Prohazka, Rosseel '16
- Einstein gravity in 2+1

work by Perez, Tempo, Troncoso '16

Lifshitz scaling in limit $z \rightarrow 0$ interpreted from near horizon perspective work with Afshar, Detournay, Merbis, Perez, Tempo, Troncoso, Sheikh-Jabbari, Yavarntanoo '16 [explicit construction of all BTZ microstates!]

$$S = 2\pi \left(J_0^+ + J_0^- \right)$$

Thanks for your attention!