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## Towards flat space higher spin gravity in 2d

Lightning review of $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ contraction to flat space higher spin gravity Afshar, Bagchi, Fareghbal, DG, Rosseel '13; González, Matulich, Pino, Troncoso '13
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- Take Chern-Simons on cylinder gauge algebra contains $\mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})$


## MARILLENKNÖDEL

$$
I_{\mathrm{CS}}[A]=\frac{k}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \text { disk }}\left\langle A \wedge A+\frac{2}{3} A \wedge A \wedge A\right\rangle
$$
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## MARILLENKNÖDEL

EatSmarter!

- Take Chern-Simons on cylinder gauge algebra contains $\mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})$
- Split into left-/right-chiral parts
- Add bc's in each sector

$$
A^{ \pm}=\left(b^{ \pm}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~d}+a^{ \pm}\right) b^{ \pm} \quad \delta a^{ \pm} \sim \delta \mathcal{W}^{ \pm}
$$
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\begin{aligned}
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IW contraction: limit $\ell \rightarrow \infty$ after evaluating brackets
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EatSmarter

- Take Chern-Simons on cylinder gauge algebra contains $\mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbf{s l}(2, \mathbb{R})$
- Split into left-/right-chiral parts
- Add bc's in each sector
- Stir well and get AS generators $W_{n}^{ \pm}$
- Boil down to AS algebra
- Cook up IW contraction
- Enjoy flat space AS algebra!

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\text { even }, \text { even }] } & =\text { even } \\
{[\text { even }, \text { odd }] } & =\text { odd }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
[\text { odd }, \text { odd }]=0 \quad \text { HS-supertranslations }
$$
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## Proceed as follows:

- Recap $\mathrm{AdS}_{2}$ higher spin theories (known)
- Construct flat space spin-2 theory (new)
- Embed flat space spin-2 algebra in higher rank algebra (to do)
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Focus for time being on JT with negative $\Lambda\left(\mathrm{AdS}_{2}\right)$

## Selected list of models

Black holes in (A)dS ${ }_{2}$, asymptotically flat or arbitrary spaces (Wheeler property)

| Model | $U(X)$ | $V(X)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Schwarzschild (1916) | $-\frac{1}{2 X}$ | $-\lambda^{2}$ |
| 2. Jackiw-Teitelboim (1984) | 0 | $\Lambda X$ |
| 3. Witten Black Hole (1991) | $-\frac{1}{X}$ | $-2 b^{2} X$ |
| 4. CGHS (1992) | 0 | $-2 b^{2}$ |
| 5. (A)dS2 ground state (1994) | $-\frac{a}{X}$ | $B X$ |
| 6. Rindler ground state (1996) | $-\frac{a}{X}$ | $B X^{a}$ |
| 7. Black Hole attractor (2003) | 0 | $B X^{-1}$ |
| 8. Spherically reduced gravity ( $N>3)$ | $-\frac{N-3}{(N-2) X}$ | $-\lambda^{2} X^{(N-4) /(N-2)}$ |
| 9. All above: ab-family (1997) | $-\frac{a}{X}$ | $B X^{a+b}$ |
| 10. Liouville gravity | $a$ | $b e^{\alpha X}$ |
| 11. Reissner-Nordström (1916) | $-\frac{1}{2 X}$ | $-\lambda^{2}+\frac{Q^{2}}{X}$ |
| 12. Schwarzschild-(A)dS | $-\frac{1}{2 X}$ | $-\lambda^{2}-\ell X$ |
| 13. Katanaev-Volovich (1986) | $\alpha$ | $\beta X^{2}-\Lambda$ |
| 14. BTZ/Achucarro-Ortiz (1993) | 0 | $\frac{Q^{2}}{X}-\frac{J}{4 X^{3}}-\Lambda X$ |
| 15. KK reduced CS (2003) | 0 | $\frac{1}{2} X\left(c-X^{2}\right)$ |
| 16. KK red. conf. flat (2006) | $-\frac{1}{2}$ tanh $(X / 2)$ | $A \sinh X$ |
| 17. 2D type 0A string Black Hole | $-\frac{1}{X}$ | $-2 b^{2} X+\frac{b^{2} q^{2}}{8 \pi}$ |
| 18. exact string Black Hole (2005) | lengthy | lengthy |

Gauge theory formulation of Jackiw-Teitelboim model

## BF is to JT what CS is to EH

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{\mathrm{BF}}[\mathcal{X}, A]=\frac{k}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathcal{M}}\langle\mathcal{X} F\rangle \\
F=\mathrm{d} A+A \wedge A \text { with } A \in \operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) ; \text { co-adjoint scalars } \mathcal{X}
\end{gathered}
$$
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$$

$F=\mathrm{d} A+A \wedge A$ with $A \in \operatorname{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) ;$ co-adjoint scalars $\mathcal{X}$

- EOM $F=0$ imply torsionlessness and constancy of Ricci-scalar schematically:
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\end{aligned}
$$
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well-defined only with integrability condition $\left.A_{\tau}\right|_{\partial \mathcal{M}}=\left.f(\mathcal{X})\right|_{\partial \mathcal{M}}$
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with $g=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} y^{\prime} L_{-1}\right) \exp \left(\ln (y) L_{0}\right)$ where $f_{\tau}=1 / y$

Boundary and integrability conditions for JT See DG, McNees, Salzer, Valcárcel, Vassilevich '17 and González, DG, Salzer '18

- Analogous to Brown-Henneaux bc's in $\mathrm{AdS}_{3}$ :

$$
a_{\tau}=L_{1}+\mathcal{L}(\tau) L_{-1} \quad b=\exp \left(\rho L_{0}\right)
$$

- bc-preserving gauge trafos $\varepsilon$ act on $\mathcal{L}$ by infinitesimal Schwarzian

$$
\delta_{\varepsilon} \mathcal{L}=\varepsilon \mathcal{L}^{\prime}+2 \varepsilon^{\prime} \mathcal{L}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime \prime}
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- rewrite $f_{\tau}=\frac{1}{\bar{y}} \partial_{\tau} f$, with well-defined diffeo, $f(\tau+\beta)=f(\tau)+\beta$
- finite on-shell action, $\left.\Gamma\right|_{F=0}=-k \beta C /(2 \pi \bar{y})$
note: boundary action given by
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$$
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## Spin-2 to HS: similar recipe as in 3d

- pre-SYK history: Rey '11, Alkalaev '13, DG, Leston, Vassilevich '13
- basic idea analogous to 3d: higher spin = higher rank gauge theory embed $\mathrm{sl}(2)$ principally in $\mathrm{sl}(N)$ to get spin- $N$ gravity
- for instance, for $N=3$ impose bc's

$$
a_{\tau}=L_{1}+\mathcal{L}(\tau) L_{-1}+\mathcal{W}(\tau) W_{-2}
$$

- calculate bc's preserving gauge trafos
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a_{\tau}=f_{\tau}^{(2)} x+f_{\tau}^{(3)}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)+g^{-1} \partial_{\tau} g
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- boundary action given by sum of Casimirs

$$
I_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \sim \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} \tau\left(f^{(2)} C_{2}+f^{(3)} C_{3}\right)
$$

## Spin-2 to HS: similar recipe as in 3d

- pre-SYK history: Rey '11, Alkalaev '13, DG, Leston, Vassilevich '13
- basic idea analogous to 3d: higher spin = higher rank gauge theory embed $\mathrm{sl}(2)$ principally in $\mathrm{sl}(N)$ to get spin- $N$ gravity
- for instance, for $N=3$ impose bc's

$$
a_{\tau}=L_{1}+\mathcal{L}(\tau) L_{-1}+\mathcal{W}(\tau) W_{-2}
$$

- calculate bc's preserving gauge trafos
- get quadratic and cubic Casimirs, $C_{2} \sim \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2}\right), C_{3} \sim \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{3}\right)$
- impose suitable integrability conditions

$$
a_{\tau}=f_{\tau}^{(2)} x+f_{\tau}^{(3)}\left(x^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{Tr}\left(x^{2}\right)\right)+g^{-1} \partial_{\tau} g
$$

- boundary action given by sum of Casimirs

$$
I_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \sim \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d} \tau\left(f^{(2)} C_{2}+f^{(3)} C_{3}\right)
$$

- after some gymnastics: spin-3 generalization of Schwarzian action (see González, DG, Salzer '18)


## Outline

## Flat space higher spin gravity in 3d

## AdS higher spin gravity in 2 d

Flat space spin-2 gravity in 2d

## Towards flat space higher spin gravity in 2d
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$$
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- or add dilaton-independent term to dilaton potential

$$
I_{\widehat{\mathrm{CGHS}}}\left[X, g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}[X R-2 \Lambda]
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$$
I_{\mathrm{CGHS}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}\left(X R-\frac{1}{X}(\nabla X)^{2}-2 \Lambda X-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla f)^{2}\right)
$$

eliminate extra scalars $(f=0)$ and make Weyl rescaling to get $I_{\widehat{\mathrm{CHHS}}}$
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Want interesting flat space spin-2 gravity model in 2d:

- $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit of JT boring model (no horizons)
- cannot simply take contraction of JT results (would yield Poincaré ${ }_{2}$ )
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\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} I_{\mathrm{JT}}\left[X, g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}[X(R-2 \Lambda)]=\text { boring }
$$

- add dilaton-independent term to dilaton potential

$$
I_{\mathrm{CGHS}}\left[X, g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}[X R-2 \Lambda]
$$

- model above is (conformally transformed) CGHS model
- all solutions have vanishing curvature (only linear dilaton sector exists)

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r+2(\mathcal{P}(u) r+\mathcal{T}(u)) \mathrm{d} u^{2}
$$

Callan-Giddings-Harvey-Strominger model
Mandal, Sengupta, Wadia '91; Elitzur, Forge, Rabinovici '91; Witten '91; CGHS '92
Want interesting flat space spin-2 gravity model in 2d:

- $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit of JT boring model (no horizons)
- cannot simply take contraction of JT results (would yield Poincaré ${ }_{2}$ )

$$
\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} I_{\mathrm{JT}}\left[X, g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\lim _{\Lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}[X(R-2 \Lambda)]=\text { boring }
$$

- add dilaton-independent term to dilaton potential

$$
I_{\mathrm{CGHS}}\left[X, g_{\mu \nu}\right]=\frac{1}{16 \pi G_{2}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \mathrm{d}^{2} x \sqrt{|g|}[X R-2 \Lambda]
$$

- model above is (conformally transformed) CGHS model
- all solutions have vanishing curvature (only linear dilaton sector exists)

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r+2(\mathcal{P}(u) r+\mathcal{T}(u)) \mathrm{d} u^{2}
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Reasonable starting point for (Rindler-type) flat space holography

Asymptotic Killing vectors and $\mathrm{BMS}_{2}$ symmetries
Work in progress with Afshar, González, Salzer, Vassilevich '19
CGHS line-element

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r+2(\mathcal{P}(u) r+\mathcal{T}(u)) \mathrm{d} u^{2}
$$

has asymptotic Killing vectors

$$
\xi=\epsilon(u) \partial_{u}-\left(\epsilon^{\prime}(u) r+\eta(u)\right) \partial_{r}
$$
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\xi=\epsilon(u) \partial_{u}-\left(\epsilon^{\prime}(u) r+\eta(u)\right) \partial_{r}
$$

Laurent modes $L_{n}=\xi\left(\epsilon=-u^{n+1}, \eta=0\right), M_{n}=\xi\left(\epsilon=0, \eta=u^{n-1}\right)$ yield $\mathrm{BMS}_{2}$ asymptotic symmetry algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =(n-m) L_{n+m} \\
{\left[L_{n}, M_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =-(n+m) M_{n+m} \\
{\left[M_{n}, M_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =0
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Work in progress with Afshar, González, Salzer, Vassilevich '19
CGHS line-element

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=-2 \mathrm{~d} u \mathrm{~d} r+2(\mathcal{P}(u) r+\mathcal{T}(u)) \mathrm{d} u^{2}
$$

has asymptotic Killing vectors

$$
\xi=\epsilon(u) \partial_{u}-\left(\epsilon^{\prime}(u) r+\eta(u)\right) \partial_{r}
$$

Laurent modes $L_{n}=\xi\left(\epsilon=-u^{n+1}, \eta=0\right), M_{n}=\xi\left(\epsilon=0, \eta=u^{n-1}\right)$ yield $\mathrm{BMS}_{2}$ asymptotic symmetry algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =(n-m) L_{n+m} \\
{\left[L_{n}, M_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =-(n+m) M_{n+m} \\
{\left[M_{n}, M_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =0
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isomorphic ( $J_{n}=n M_{n}$ for $n \neq 0, J_{0}=M_{0}$ ) to warped conformal algebra

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =(n-m) L_{n+m} \\
{\left[L_{n}, J_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =-m J_{n+m} \\
{\left[J_{n}, J_{m}\right]_{\text {Lie }} } & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

Cangemi-Jackiw gauge theoretic formulation and bc's

- Ansatz for connection and scalar field
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A=\omega J+e^{a} P_{a}+A Z \quad \mathcal{X}=X Z+X^{a} \varepsilon_{a}^{b} P_{b}+Y J
$$

with centrally extended Poincaré ${ }_{2}$

$$
\left[P_{+}, P_{-}\right]=Z \quad\left[P_{ \pm}, J\right]= \pm P_{ \pm}
$$
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- compatible with EOM

$$
\mathrm{d} a+a \wedge a=0=\mathrm{d} x+[a, x]
$$
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$$

with centrally extended Poincaré ${ }_{2}$

$$
\left[P_{+}, P_{-}\right]=Z \quad\left[P_{ \pm}, J\right]= \pm P_{ \pm}
$$

- bilinear form non-degenerate, $\left\langle P_{+} P_{-}\right\rangle=-\langle J Z\rangle=1$
- propose bc's

$$
\begin{gathered}
A=b^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~d}+a_{u} \mathrm{~d} u\right) b \quad \mathcal{X}=b^{-1} x b \quad b=\exp \left(-r P_{+}\right) \\
a_{u}=\mathcal{T} P_{+}+P_{-}+\mathcal{P} J \quad x=\left(\eta^{\prime}+\mathcal{T} \varepsilon\right) P_{+}+\varepsilon P_{-}+\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}+\mathcal{P} \varepsilon\right) J+\eta Z
\end{gathered}
$$

- compatible with EOM

$$
\mathrm{d} a+a \wedge a=0=\mathrm{d} x+[a, x]
$$

- yields metric in EF-gauge, with same functions $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{T}$ as before
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Twisted warped transformation behavior!
Note: in modes $\left(L_{n} \leftarrow \mathcal{T}, J_{n} \leftarrow \mathcal{P}\right)$ trafo-behavior above corresponds to twisted warped conformal algebra (see Afshar, Detournay, DG, Oblak '15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{n}, L_{m}\right] } & =(n-m) L_{n+m} \\
{\left[L_{n}, J_{m}\right] } & =-m J_{n+m}+i n^{2} \delta_{n+m} \\
{\left[J_{n}, J_{m}\right] } & =0
\end{aligned}
$$
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Proceed analogously to JT-case:

- bc-preserving gauge trafos

$$
\lambda=b^{-1}\left(\left(\left(\varepsilon^{Z}\right)^{\prime}+\mathcal{T} \varepsilon^{-}\right) P_{+}+\varepsilon^{-} P_{-}+\left(\left(\varepsilon^{-}\right)^{\prime}+\mathcal{P} \varepsilon^{-}\right) J+\varepsilon^{Z} Z\right) b
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- their action on state-dependent functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
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$$

## Twisted warped transformation behavior!

- same transformation behavior follows from asymptotic Killing vectors
- dual field theory (if exists) has twisted warped conformal symmetries
- boundary action needs finite version of trafos above (like Schwarzian)
- finite trafos also featured recently in Afshar '19
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$$
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twisted Schwarzian

Coincides with boundary action derived in 1908.08089
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## Outlook on flat space higher spin gravity in 2 d

- I have no results to offer yet
- however, higher spin $\mathrm{AdS}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{FS}_{3}$ results suggest following recipe:

Towards flat space higher spin dilaton gravity in 2d

- Take BF-action
- Assume higher rank gauge group with suitable embedding of centrally extended Poincaré ${ }_{2}$
- Impose highest-weight bc's on connection

$$
a_{u} \sim P_{-}+\mathcal{T} P_{+}+\cdots+\mathcal{P} J
$$

- Demand suitable integrability condition

$$
a_{u}=f_{u}^{(2)} x+\cdots+g^{-1} \partial_{u} g
$$

Flat space higher spin gravity in 2d probably exists

## Thanks for your attention!

## Thanks to

## Hamid Afshar, Hernán González, Jakob Salzer and Dima Vassilevich for collaborations on (flat space) dilaton (higher spin) gravity in 2d!



## Bonus-slide

## González, DG, Salzer '18

Spin-3 Schwarzian action (zero temperature)

$$
I \sim \int \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[\frac{f^{\prime \prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}-\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime}}\right)^{2}+\frac{e^{\prime \prime \prime}}{e^{\prime}}-\frac{4}{3}\left(\frac{e^{\prime \prime}}{e^{\prime}}\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{3} \frac{f^{\prime \prime} e^{\prime \prime}}{f^{\prime} e^{\prime}}\right]
$$

with

$$
e=s^{\prime} / f^{\prime}
$$

has $\mathrm{SL}(3)$-invariance

$$
s \rightarrow \frac{a_{11} s+a_{12} f+a_{13}}{a_{31} s+a_{32} f+a_{33}} \quad f \rightarrow \frac{a_{21} s+a_{22} f+a_{23}}{a_{31} s+a_{32} f+a_{33}}
$$

where $a_{i j}$ are components of $\mathrm{SL}(3)$-matrix

## See Marshakov, Morozov '90; . . . ; Li, Theisen '15

