

Soft Heisenberg hair

Daniel Grumiller

Institute for Theoretical Physics
TU Wien

Seminar talk at University of Edinburgh, September 2016



based on work w. H. Afshar, S. Detournay,
W. Merbis, (B. Oblak), A. Perez,
D. Tempo, R. Troncoso, [S. Sheikh-Jabbari]

Simple punchline

Heisenberg algebra

$$[X_n, P_m] = i \delta_{n,m}$$

fundamental not only in quantum mechanics
but also in near horizon physics

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

Black hole microstates

Bekenstein–Hawking

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ Motivation: microscopic understanding of generic black hole entropy

Black hole microstates

Bekenstein–Hawking

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ Motivation: microscopic understanding of generic black hole entropy
- ▶ Microstate counting from CFT_2 symmetries (Strominger, Carlip, ...) using Cardy formula

Bekenstein–Hawking

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ Motivation: microscopic understanding of generic black hole entropy
- ▶ Microstate counting from CFT_2 symmetries (Strominger, Carlip, ...) using Cardy formula
- ▶ Generalizations in 2+1 gravity/gravity-like theories (Galilean CFT, warped CFT, ...)

warped CFT: Detournay, Hartman, Hofman '12

Galilean CFT: Bagchi, Detournay, Fareghbal, Simon '13; Barnich '13

Bekenstein–Hawking

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ Motivation: microscopic understanding of generic black hole entropy
- ▶ Microstate counting from CFT_2 symmetries (Strominger, Carlip, ...) using Cardy formula
- ▶ Generalizations in 2+1 gravity/gravity-like theories (Galilean CFT, warped CFT, ...)
- ▶ Main idea: consider near horizon symmetries for non-extremal horizons

Extremal horizons in 3d: see Li, Lucietti '14

Bekenstein–Hawking

$$S_{\text{BH}} = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ Motivation: microscopic understanding of generic black hole entropy
- ▶ Microstate counting from CFT_2 symmetries (Strominger, Carlip, ...) using Cardy formula
- ▶ Generalizations in 2+1 gravity/gravity-like theories (Galilean CFT, warped CFT, ...)
- ▶ Main idea: consider near horizon symmetries for non-extremal horizons
- ▶ Near horizon line-element with **Rindler acceleration a** :

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$$

Meaning of coordinates:

- ▶ ρ : radial direction ($\rho = 0$ is horizon)
- ▶ $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$: angular direction
- ▶ v : (advanced) time

Choices

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?

Choices

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?
- ▶ If state-dependent: need mechanism to fix scale

Recall scale invariance

$$a \rightarrow \lambda a \quad \rho \rightarrow \lambda \rho \quad v \rightarrow v/\lambda$$

of **Rindler** metric

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2$$

Choices

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?
- ▶ If state-dependent: need mechanism to fix scale — suggestion in 1512.08233:

$$v \sim v + 2\pi L$$

Works technically but physical interpretation difficult

Recall scale invariance

$$a \rightarrow \lambda a \quad \rho \rightarrow \lambda \rho \quad v \rightarrow v/\lambda$$

of **Rindler** metric

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2$$

Choices

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?
- ▶ If state-dependent: need mechanism to fix scale — suggestion in 1512.08233:

$$v \sim v + 2\pi L$$

Works technically but physical interpretation difficult

- ▶ If chemical potential: all states in theory have same (Unruh-)temperature

$$T_U = \frac{a}{2\pi}$$

Choices

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?
- ▶ If state-dependent: need mechanism to fix scale — suggestion in 1512.08233:

$$v \sim v + 2\pi L$$

Works technically but physical interpretation difficult

- ▶ If **chemical potential**: all states in theory have same (Unruh-)temperature

$$T_U = \frac{a}{2\pi}$$

suggestion in 1511.08687

We make this choice in this talk!

- ▶ **Rindler acceleration**: state-dependent or chemical potential?
- ▶ If state-dependent: need mechanism to fix scale — suggestion in 1512.08233:

$$v \sim v + 2\pi L$$

Works technically but physical interpretation difficult

- ▶ If **chemical potential**: all states in theory have same (Unruh-)temperature

$$T_U = \frac{a}{2\pi}$$

- ▶ Work in 3d Einstein gravity in Chern–Simons formulation

$$I_{CS} = \pm \sum_{\pm} \frac{k}{4\pi} \int \langle A^{\pm} \wedge dA^{\pm} + \frac{2}{3} A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \wedge A^{\pm} \rangle$$

with $sl(2)$ connections A^{\pm} and $k = \ell/(4G_N)$ with AdS radius $\ell = 1$

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

Diagonal gauge

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A^\pm = b_\pm^{-1}(\rho) (d + \mathbf{a}_\pm(x^0, x^1)) b_\pm(\rho)$$

with some group element $b \in SL(2)$ depending on radius ρ with $\delta b = 0$

Drop \pm decorations in most of talk

Manifold topologically a cylinder or torus, with radial coordinate ρ and boundary coordinates $(x^0, x^1) \sim (v, \varphi)$

Diagonal gauge

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho) (d + \mathfrak{a}(x^0, x^1)) b(\rho)$$

with some group element $b \in SL(2)$ depending on radius ρ with $\delta b = 0$

- ▶ Standard AdS₃ approach: highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_+ + \mathcal{L}(x^0, x^1)L_- \quad b(\rho) = \exp(\rho L_0)$$

$$sl(2): [L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad n, m = -1, 0, 1$$

Diagonal gauge

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho) (d + \mathfrak{a}(x^0, x^1)) b(\rho)$$

with some group element $b \in SL(2)$ depending on radius ρ with $\delta b = 0$

- ▶ Standard AdS₃ approach: highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_+ + \mathcal{L}(x^0, x^1)L_- \quad b(\rho) = \exp(\rho L_0)$$

$$sl(2): [L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad n, m = -1, 0, 1$$

- ▶ For near horizon purposes diagonal gauge useful:

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathcal{J}(x^0, x^1) L_0$$

Diagonal gauge

Standard trick: partially fix gauge

$$A = b^{-1}(\rho) (d + \mathfrak{a}(x^0, x^1)) b(\rho)$$

with some group element $b \in SL(2)$ depending on radius ρ with $\delta b = 0$

- ▶ Standard AdS₃ approach: highest weight gauge

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim L_+ + \mathcal{L}(x^0, x^1) L_- \quad b(\rho) = \exp(\rho L_0)$$

$$sl(2): [L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m}, \quad n, m = -1, 0, 1$$

- ▶ For near horizon purposes diagonal gauge useful:

$$\mathfrak{a} \sim \mathcal{J}(x^0, x^1) L_0$$

- ▶ Precise boundary conditions (ζ : chemical potential):

$$\mathfrak{a} = (\mathcal{J} d\varphi + \zeta dv) L_0 \quad \delta \mathfrak{a} = \delta \mathcal{J} d\varphi L_0$$

and $b = \exp(\frac{1}{\zeta} L_+) \cdot \exp(\frac{\rho}{2} L_-)$. (assume constant ζ for simplicity)

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

yields ($f := 1 + \rho/(2a)$)

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho f dv^2 + 2dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho \\ + 4\omega\rho f dv d\varphi + [\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a} f(\gamma^2 - \omega^2)] d\varphi^2$$

state-dependent functions $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \gamma \pm \omega$, chemical potentials $\zeta^{\pm} = -a \pm \Omega$

For simplicity set $\Omega = 0$ and $a = \text{const.}$ in metric above

EOM imply $\partial_v \mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \pm \partial_{\varphi} \zeta^{\pm}$; in this case $\partial_v \mathcal{J}^{\pm} = 0$

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

yields ($f := 1 + \rho/(2a)$)

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho f dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho \\ + 4\omega\rho f dv d\varphi + \left[\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a} f(\gamma^2 - \omega^2) \right] d\varphi^2$$

state-dependent functions $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \gamma \pm \omega$, chemical potentials $\zeta^{\pm} = -a \pm \Omega$
Neglecting rotation terms ($\omega = 0$) yields **Rindler** plus higher order terms:

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$$

Comments:

- ▶ Recover desired near horizon metric

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

yields ($f := 1 + \rho/(2a)$)

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho f dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho \\ + 4\omega\rho f dv d\varphi + \left[\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a} f(\gamma^2 - \omega^2) \right] d\varphi^2$$

state-dependent functions $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \gamma \pm \omega$, chemical potentials $\zeta^{\pm} = -a \pm \Omega$
Neglecting rotation terms ($\omega = 0$) yields **Rindler** plus higher order terms:

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$$

Comments:

- ▶ Recover desired near horizon metric
- ▶ **Rindler acceleration** a indeed state-independent

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

yields ($f := 1 + \rho/(2a)$)

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho f dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho \\ + 4\omega\rho f dv d\varphi + [\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a} f(\gamma^2 - \omega^2)] d\varphi^2$$

state-dependent functions $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \gamma \pm \omega$, chemical potentials $\zeta^{\pm} = -a \pm \Omega$
Neglecting rotation terms ($\omega = 0$) yields **Rindler** plus higher order terms:

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$$

Comments:

- ▶ Recover desired near horizon metric
- ▶ **Rindler acceleration** a indeed state-independent
- ▶ Two state-dependent functions (γ, ω) as “usual”¹ in 3d gravity

¹However, see [1608.01308](#)

Near horizon metric

Using

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_{\mu}^{+} - A_{\mu}^{-}) (A_{\nu}^{+} - A_{\nu}^{-}) \rangle$$

yields ($f := 1 + \rho/(2a)$)

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho f dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho \\ + 4\omega\rho f dv d\varphi + [\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a} f(\gamma^2 - \omega^2)] d\varphi^2$$

state-dependent functions $\mathcal{J}^{\pm} = \gamma \pm \omega$, chemical potentials $\zeta^{\pm} = -a \pm \Omega$
Neglecting rotation terms ($\omega = 0$) yields **Rindler** plus higher order terms:

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2 dv d\rho + \gamma^2 d\varphi^2 + \dots$$

Comments:

- ▶ Recover desired near horizon metric
- ▶ **Rindler acceleration** a indeed state-independent
- ▶ Two state-dependent functions (γ, ω) as “usual” in 3d gravity
- ▶ $\gamma = \gamma(\varphi)$: “black flower”

Canonical boundary charges

- ▶ Canonical boundary charges non-zero for large trafos that preserve boundary conditions
- ▶ Zero mode charges: mass and angular momentum

Canonical boundary charges

- ▶ Canonical boundary charges non-zero for large transformations that preserve boundary conditions
- ▶ Zero mode charges: mass and angular momentum

Background independent result for Chern–Simons yields

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta(\varphi) \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$$

- ▶ Finite
- ▶ Integrable
- ▶ Conserved
- ▶ Non-trivial

Canonical boundary charges

- ▶ Canonical boundary charges non-zero for large trafos that preserve boundary conditions
- ▶ Zero mode charges: mass and angular momentum

Background independent result for Chern–Simons yields

$$Q[\eta] = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta(\varphi) \mathcal{J}(\varphi)$$

- ▶ Finite
- ▶ Integrable
- ▶ Conserved
- ▶ Non-trivial

Meaningful near horizon boundary conditions and non-trivial theory!

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

Near horizon symmetry algebra

- ▶ **Near horizon symmetry algebra** = all near horizon boundary conditions preserving trafo, modulo trivial gauge trafo

Most general trafo

$$\delta_\epsilon \mathbf{a} = d\epsilon + [\mathbf{a}, \epsilon] = \mathcal{O}(\delta \mathbf{a})$$

that preserves our boundary conditions for constant ζ given by

$$\epsilon = \epsilon^+ L_+ + \eta L_0 + \epsilon^- L_-$$

with

$$\partial_v \eta = 0$$

implying

$$\delta_\epsilon \mathcal{J} = \partial_\varphi \eta$$

Near horizon symmetry algebra

- ▶ Near horizon symmetry algebra = all near horizon boundary conditions preserving trafos, modulo trivial gauge trafos
- ▶ Expand charges in Fourier modes

$$J_n^\pm = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \mathcal{J}^\pm(\varphi)$$

What should we expect?

- ▶ Virasoro? (spacetime is locally AdS_3)
- ▶ BMS_3 ? (Rindler boundary similar to scri)
- ▶ warped conformal algebra? (this is what we found for Rindleresque holography and what Donnay, Giribet, Gonzalez, Pino found in their near horizon analysis)

Near horizon symmetry algebra

- ▶ Near horizon symmetry algebra = all near horizon boundary conditions preserving trafos, modulo trivial gauge trafos
- ▶ Expand charges in Fourier modes

$$J_n^\pm = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \mathcal{J}^\pm(\varphi)$$

- ▶ Near horizon symmetry algebra

$$[J_n^\pm, J_m^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} k n \delta_{n+m, 0} \quad [J_n^+, J_m^-] = 0$$

Two $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras with non-zero levels

Near horizon symmetry algebra

- ▶ Near horizon symmetry algebra = all near horizon boundary conditions preserving trafos, modulo trivial gauge trafos
- ▶ Expand charges in Fourier modes

$$J_n^\pm = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \mathcal{J}^\pm(\varphi)$$

- ▶ Near horizon symmetry algebra

$$[J_n^\pm, J_m^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} kn \delta_{n+m,0} \quad [J_n^+, J_m^-] = 0$$

Two $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras with non-zero levels

- ▶ Much simpler than CFT_2 , warped CFT_2 , Galilean CFT_2 , etc.

Near horizon symmetry algebra

- ▶ **Near horizon symmetry algebra** = all near horizon boundary conditions preserving trafos, modulo trivial gauge trafos
- ▶ Expand charges in Fourier modes

$$J_n^\pm = \frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi e^{in\varphi} \mathcal{J}^\pm(\varphi)$$

- ▶ **Near horizon symmetry algebra**

$$[J_n^\pm, J_m^\pm] = \pm \frac{1}{2} kn \delta_{n+m,0} \quad [J_n^+, J_m^-] = 0$$

Two $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras with non-zero levels

- ▶ Much simpler than CFT_2 , warped CFT_2 , Galilean CFT_2 , etc.
- ▶ Map

$$P_0 = J_0^+ + J_0^- \quad P_n = \frac{i}{kn} (J_{-n}^+ + J_{-n}^-) \text{ if } n \neq 0 \quad X_n = J_n^+ - J_n^-$$

yields **Heisenberg algebra** (with Casimirs X_0, P_0)

$$[X_n, X_m] = [P_n, P_m] = [X_0, P_n] = [P_0, X_n] = 0$$

$$[X_n, P_m] = i\delta_{n,m} \quad \text{if } n \neq 0$$

- ▶ Vacuum descendants $|\psi(q)\rangle$

$$|\psi(q)\rangle \sim \prod (J_{-n_i^+}^+)^{m_i^+} \prod (J_{-n_i^-}^-)^{m_i^-} |0\rangle$$

- ▶ Vacuum descendants $|\psi(q)\rangle$

$$|\psi(q)\rangle \sim \prod (J_{-n_i^+}^+)^{m_i^+} \prod (J_{-n_i^-}^-)^{m_i^-} |0\rangle$$

- ▶ Hamiltonian

$$H := Q[\epsilon^\pm |_{\partial_v}] = aP_0$$

commutes with all generators of algebra

- ▶ Vacuum descendants $|\psi(q)\rangle$

$$|\psi(q)\rangle \sim \prod (J_{-n_i^+}^+)^{m_i^+} \prod (J_{-n_i^-}^-)^{m_i^-} |0\rangle$$

- ▶ Hamiltonian

$$H := Q[\epsilon^\pm |_{\partial_v}] = aP_0$$

commutes with all generators of algebra

- ▶ Energy of vacuum descendants

$$E_\psi = \langle \psi(q) | H | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}} \langle \psi(q) | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}}$$

same as energy of vacuum

- ▶ Vacuum descendants $|\psi(q)\rangle$

$$|\psi(q)\rangle \sim \prod (J_{-n_i^+}^+)^{m_i^+} \prod (J_{-n_i^-}^-)^{m_i^-} |0\rangle$$

- ▶ Hamiltonian

$$H := Q[\epsilon^\pm |_{\partial_v}] = aP_0$$

commutes with all generators of algebra

- ▶ Energy of vacuum descendants

$$E_\psi = \langle \psi(q) | H | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}} \langle \psi(q) | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}}$$

same as energy of vacuum

- ▶ Same conclusion true for descendants of any state!

- ▶ Vacuum descendants $|\psi(q)\rangle$

$$|\psi(q)\rangle \sim \prod (J_{-n_i^+}^+)^{m_i^+} \prod (J_{-n_i^-}^-)^{m_i^-} |0\rangle$$

- ▶ Hamiltonian

$$H := Q[\epsilon^\pm |_{\partial_v}] = aP_0$$

commutes with all generators of algebra

- ▶ Energy of vacuum descendants

$$E_\psi = \langle \psi(q) | H | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}} \langle \psi(q) | \psi(q) \rangle = E_{\text{vac}}$$

same as energy of vacuum

- ▶ Same conclusion true for descendants of any state!

Soft hair = zero energy excitations on horizon

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

Macroscopic entropy

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ
- ▶ Soft hairy black holes remain regular and have same energy as BTZ (for other boundary conditions generically not true)

Macroscopic entropy

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ
- ▶ Soft hairy black holes remain regular and have same energy as BTZ (for other boundary conditions generically not true)
- ▶ Macroscopic entropy

$$S = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

calculated directly in Chern–Simons formulation

Macroscopic entropy

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ
- ▶ Soft hairy black holes remain regular and have same energy as BTZ (for other boundary conditions generically not true)
- ▶ Macroscopic entropy

$$S = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ No contribution from soft hair charges

Macroscopic entropy

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ
- ▶ Soft hairy black holes remain regular and have same energy as BTZ (for other boundary conditions generically not true)
- ▶ Macroscopic entropy

$$S = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ No contribution from soft hair charges
- ▶ Suggestive that microstate counting should work

Macroscopic entropy

- ▶ Zero-mode solutions with constant chemical potentials: BTZ

$$J_0^\pm = \frac{k}{2}(r_+ \pm r_-)$$

- ▶ Generic soft hairy black holes (or “black flowers”) from softly boosting BTZ
- ▶ Soft hairy black holes remain regular and have same energy as BTZ (for other boundary conditions generically not true)
- ▶ Macroscopic entropy

$$S = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N}$$

- ▶ No contribution from soft hair charges
- ▶ Suggestive that microstate counting should work

Before addressing microstates consider map to asymptotic variables

Map to asymptotic variables

- ▶ Usual asymptotic AdS₃ connection with chemical potential μ :

$$\hat{A} = \hat{b}^{-1} (d + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \hat{b} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_\varphi = L_+ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} L_-$$

$$\hat{b} = e^{\rho L_0} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_t = \mu L_+ - \mu' L_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu'' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \mu \right) L_-$$

Map to asymptotic variables

- ▶ Usual asymptotic AdS₃ connection with chemical potential μ :

$$\hat{A} = \hat{b}^{-1} (d + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \hat{b} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_\varphi = L_+ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} L_-$$

$$\hat{b} = e^{\rho L_0} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_t = \mu L_+ - \mu' L_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu'' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \mu \right) L_-$$

- ▶ Gauge trafo $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = g^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) g$ with

$$g = \exp(x L_+) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} L_-\right)$$

where $\partial_v x - \zeta x = \mu$ and $x' - \mathcal{J} x = 1$

Map to asymptotic variables

- ▶ Usual asymptotic AdS₃ connection with chemical potential μ :

$$\hat{A} = \hat{b}^{-1} (d + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \hat{b} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_\varphi = L_+ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} L_-$$

$$\hat{b} = e^{\rho L_0} \quad \hat{\mathbf{a}}_t = \mu L_+ - \mu' L_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu'' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \mu \right) L_-$$

- ▶ Gauge trafo $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = g^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) g$ with

$$g = \exp(x L_+) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} L_-\right)$$

where $\partial_v x - \zeta x = \mu$ and $x' - \mathcal{J} x = 1$

- ▶ Near horizon chemical potential transforms into combination of asymptotic charge and chemical potential!

$$\mu' - \mathcal{J} \mu = -\zeta$$

Map to asymptotic variables

- ▶ Usual asymptotic AdS₃ connection with chemical potential μ :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A} &= \hat{b}^{-1} (d + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \hat{b} & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_\varphi &= L_+ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} L_- \\ \hat{b} &= e^{\rho L_0} & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_t &= \mu L_+ - \mu' L_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu'' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \mu \right) L_-\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Gauge trafo $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = g^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) g$ with

$$g = \exp(x L_+) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} L_-\right)$$

where $\partial_v x - \zeta x = \mu$ and $x' - \mathcal{J} x = 1$

- ▶ Near horizon chemical potential transforms into combination of asymptotic charge and chemical potential!

$$\mu' - \mathcal{J} \mu = -\zeta$$

- ▶ Asymptotic charges: twisted Sugawara construction with near horizon charges

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{J}'$$

Map to asymptotic variables

- ▶ Usual asymptotic AdS₃ connection with chemical potential μ :

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{A} &= \hat{b}^{-1} (d + \hat{\mathbf{a}}) \hat{b} & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_\varphi &= L_+ - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} L_- \\ \hat{b} &= e^{\rho L_0} & \hat{\mathbf{a}}_t &= \mu L_+ - \mu' L_0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu'' - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L} \mu \right) L_-\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Gauge trafo $\hat{\mathbf{a}} = g^{-1} (d + \mathbf{a}) g$ with

$$g = \exp(x L_+) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J} L_-\right)$$

where $\partial_v x - \zeta x = \mu$ and $x' - \mathcal{J} x = 1$

- ▶ Near horizon chemical potential transforms into combination of asymptotic charge and chemical potential!

$$\mu' - \mathcal{J} \mu = -\zeta$$

- ▶ Asymptotic charges: twisted Sugawara construction with near horizon charges

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{J}'$$

- ▶ Get Virasoro with non-zero central charge $\delta \mathcal{L} = 2\mathcal{L} \varepsilon' + \mathcal{L}' \varepsilon - \varepsilon'''$

Remarks on asymptotic and near horizon variables

- ▶ Asymptotic spin-2 currents fulfill Virasoro algebra, but charges obey still **Heisenberg algebra**

$$\delta Q = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \varepsilon \delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta \delta \mathcal{J}$$

Reason: asymptotic “chemical potentials” μ depend on near horizon charges \mathcal{J} and chemical potentials ζ

Remarks on asymptotic and near horizon variables

- ▶ Asymptotic spin-2 currents fulfill Virasoro algebra, but charges obey still Heisenberg algebra

$$\delta Q = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \varepsilon \delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta \delta \mathcal{J}$$

Reason: asymptotic “chemical potentials” μ depend on near horizon charges \mathcal{J} and chemical potentials ζ

- ▶ Our boundary conditions singled out: whole spectrum compatible with regularity

Remarks on asymptotic and near horizon variables

- ▶ Asymptotic spin-2 currents fulfill Virasoro algebra, but charges obey still **Heisenberg algebra**

$$\delta Q = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \varepsilon \delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta \delta \mathcal{J}$$

Reason: asymptotic “chemical potentials” μ depend on near horizon charges \mathcal{J} and chemical potentials ζ

- ▶ Our boundary conditions singled out: whole spectrum compatible with regularity
- ▶ For constant chemical potential ζ : regularity = holonomy condition

$$\mu\mu'' - \frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 - \mu^2\mathcal{L} = -2\pi^2/\beta^2$$

Solved automatically from map to asymptotic observables; reminder:

$$\mu' - \mathcal{J}\mu = -\zeta \quad \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{J}'$$

Remarks on asymptotic and near horizon variables

- ▶ Asymptotic spin-2 currents fulfill Virasoro algebra, but charges obey still **Heisenberg algebra**

$$\delta Q = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \varepsilon \delta \mathcal{L} = -\frac{k}{4\pi} \oint d\varphi \eta \delta \mathcal{J}$$

Reason: asymptotic “chemical potentials” μ depend on near horizon charges \mathcal{J} and chemical potentials ζ

- ▶ Our boundary conditions singled out: whole spectrum compatible with regularity
- ▶ For constant chemical potential ζ : regularity = holonomy condition

$$\mu\mu'' - \frac{1}{2}\mu'^2 - \mu^2\mathcal{L} = -2\pi^2/\beta^2$$

Solved automatically from map to asymptotic observables; reminder:

$$\mu' - \mathcal{J}\mu = -\zeta \quad \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{J}^2 + \mathcal{J}'$$

Near horizon boundary conditions natural for near horizon observer

Cardy counting

- ▶ Idea: use map to asymptotic observables to do standard Cardy counting
- ▶ Twisted Sugawara construction expanded in Fourier modes

$$kL_n = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i k n J_n$$

Cardy counting

- ▶ Idea: use map to asymptotic observables to do standard Cardy counting
- ▶ Twisted Sugawara construction expanded in Fourier modes

$$kL_n = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i k n J_n$$

- ▶ Starting from **Heisenberg algebra** obtain semi-classically Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{1}{2} k n^3 \delta_{n+m, 0}$$

Cardy counting

- ▶ Idea: use map to asymptotic observables to do standard Cardy counting
- ▶ Twisted Sugawara construction expanded in Fourier modes

$$kL_n = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i k n J_n$$

- ▶ Starting from Heisenberg algebra obtain semi-classically Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{1}{2} k n^3 \delta_{n+m,0}$$

- ▶ Usual Cardy formula yields Bekenstein–Hawking result

$$S_{\text{Cardy}} = 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^+} + 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^-} = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N} = S_{\text{BH}}$$

Cardy counting

- ▶ Idea: use map to asymptotic observables to do standard Cardy counting
- ▶ Twisted Sugawara construction expanded in Fourier modes

$$kL_n = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i k n J_n$$

- ▶ Starting from Heisenberg algebra obtain semi-classically Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{1}{2} k n^3 \delta_{n+m, 0}$$

- ▶ Usual Cardy formula yields Bekenstein–Hawking result

$$S_{\text{Cardy}} = 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^+} + 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^-} = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N} = S_{\text{BH}}$$

- ▶ Need $J_0^{\text{vac}} = ik/2$ to get correct vacuum value $L_0^{\text{vac}} = -k/4$; with $a = i$ get modular transformed line-element $ds^2 = \rho^2 dt + d\rho^2 - d\varphi^2$

Cardy counting

- ▶ Idea: use map to asymptotic observables to do standard Cardy counting
- ▶ Twisted Sugawara construction expanded in Fourier modes

$$kL_n = \sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} J_{n-p} J_p + i k n J_n$$

- ▶ Starting from Heisenberg algebra obtain semi-classically Virasoro algebra

$$[L_n, L_m] = (n - m)L_{n+m} + \frac{1}{2} k n^3 \delta_{n+m, 0}$$

- ▶ Usual Cardy formula yields Bekenstein–Hawking result

$$S_{\text{Cardy}} = 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^+} + 2\pi \sqrt{kL_0^-} = 2\pi(J_0^+ + J_0^-) = \frac{A}{4G_N} = S_{\text{BH}}$$

- ▶ Need $J_0^{\text{vac}} = ik/2$ to get correct vacuum value $L_0^{\text{vac}} = -k/4$; with $a = i$ get modular transformed line-element $ds^2 = \rho^2 dt + d\rho^2 - d\varphi^2$

Precise numerical factor in twist term crucial for correct results

Warped CFT counting

- ▶ Map near horizon algebra $J_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(J_n \pm K_n)$

$$Y_n \sim \sum J_{n-p} K_p \quad T_n \sim J_n$$

to centerless warped conformal algebra

$$[Y_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m}$$

$$[Y_n, T_m] = -mT_{n+m}$$

$$[T_n, T_m] = 0$$

Warped CFT counting

- ▶ Map near horizon algebra $J_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(J_n \pm K_n)$

$$Y_n \sim \sum J_{n-p} K_p \quad T_n \sim J_n$$

to centerless warped conformal algebra

$$[Y_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m}$$

$$[Y_n, T_m] = -mT_{n+m}$$

$$[T_n, T_m] = 0$$

- ▶ Modular property $Z(\beta, \theta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H + i\theta J}) = Z(2\pi\beta/\theta, -4\pi^2/\theta)$
($H = Q[\partial_v]$, $J = Q[\partial_\varphi]$) projects partition function to ground state for small imaginary θ (we need $\theta \rightarrow 0$)

Warped CFT counting

- ▶ Map **near horizon algebra** $J_n^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(J_n \pm K_n)$

$$Y_n \sim \sum J_{n-p} K_p \quad T_n \sim J_n$$

to centerless warped conformal algebra

$$[Y_n, Y_m] = (n - m)Y_{n+m}$$

$$[Y_n, T_m] = -mT_{n+m}$$

$$[T_n, T_m] = 0$$

- ▶ Modular property $Z(\beta, \theta) = \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H + i\theta J}) = Z(2\pi\beta/\theta, -4\pi^2/\theta)$
($H = Q[\partial_v]$, $J = Q[\partial_\varphi]$) projects partition function to ground state for small imaginary θ (we need $\theta \rightarrow 0$)
- ▶ Assuming $J^{\text{vac}} = 0$ yields

$$S = \beta H = S_{\text{BH}}$$

Hamiltonian H is product of BH entropy and **Unruh temperature**

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras
- ▶ Other algebras like Virasoro: subalgebras of enveloping algebra

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras
- ▶ Other algebras like Virasoro: subalgebras of enveloping algebra
- ▶ State space does not care how it is sliced

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras
- ▶ Other algebras like Virasoro: subalgebras of enveloping algebra
- ▶ State space does not care how it is sliced
- ▶ Countings work since algebras are composite of Heisenbergs

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras
- ▶ Other algebras like Virasoro: subalgebras of enveloping algebra
- ▶ State space does not care how it is sliced
- ▶ Countings work since algebras are composite of Heisenbergs
- ▶ Dual field theory is simultaneously CFT, warped CFT, etc.!

(My) Interpretation

Fineprint: these are just words

How do we interpret fact that Virasoro counting, warped counting, BMS counting, twisted warped counting ... all work and give the same entropy?

Possible interpretation:

- ▶ Huge near horizon symmetry algebra
- ▶ Namely, enveloping algebra of $\hat{u}(1)$ current algebras
- ▶ Other algebras like Virasoro: subalgebras of enveloping algebra
- ▶ State space does not care how it is sliced
- ▶ Countings work since algebras are composite of Heisenbergs
- ▶ Dual field theory is simultaneously CFT, warped CFT, etc.!
- ▶ Should be possible to directly use Heisenberg for state counting

Outline

Motivation

Near horizon boundary conditions

Soft Heisenberg hair

Soft hairy black hole entropy

Concluding comments

Comparison to related approaches

- ▶ Brown, Henneaux '86

Our boundary conditions differ from Brown–Henneaux — their chemical potentials depend on our **charges** and **chemical potentials**!

Virasoro composite in terms of **Heisenberg algebra**

Comparison to related approaches

- ▶ Brown, Henneaux '86
- ▶ Donnay, Giribet, González, Pino 1511.08687
 - ▶ Observed already $H = TS_{\text{BH}}$
 - ▶ Changing our bc's to

$$ds^2 = -2a\rho dv^2 + 2dv d\rho - 2\omega a^{-1} d\varphi d\rho + 4\omega\rho dv d\varphi + \left[\gamma^2 + \frac{2\rho}{a}(\gamma^2 - \omega^2)\right] d\varphi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\rho^2)$$

yields AKVs

$$\xi = T(\varphi)\partial_v + Y(\varphi)\partial_\varphi + \mathcal{O}(\rho^3)$$

- ▶ Up to subleading terms same AKVs as DGGP

But: T and Y state-dependent for our boundary conditions!

Comment: map to Brown–Henneaux variables requires second chemical potential, not just **Rindler acceleration!**

Warped CFT algebra composite in terms of **Heisenberg algebra**

Comparison to related approaches

- ▶ Brown, Henneaux '86
- ▶ Donnay, Giribet, González, Pino 1511.08687
- ▶ Afshar, Detournay, DG, Oblak 1512.08233

Rindler acceleration state-dependent in that approach

Twisted warped CFT algebra composite in terms of Heisenberg algebra

Comparison to related approaches

- ▶ Brown, Henneaux '86
- ▶ Donnay, Giribet, González, Pino 1511.08687
- ▶ Afshar, Detournay, DG, Oblak 1512.08233
- ▶ Hawking, Perry, Strominger 1601.00921
 - ▶ We constructed explicitly gravitational soft hair
 - ▶ We find no soft hair contribution to black hole entropy
 - ▶ BMS_3 follows from Sugawara-like construction from Heisenberg algebra

BMS algebra (supertranslations + superrotation) composite in terms of near horizon Heisenberg algebra

Comparison to related approaches

- ▶ Brown, Henneaux '86
- ▶ Donnay, Giribet, González, Pino 1511.08687
- ▶ Afshar, Detournay, DG, Oblak 1512.08233
- ▶ Hawking, Perry, Strominger 1601.00921
- ▶ Comment on complementarity:

- ▶ Asymptotic Virasoro algebra composite from near horizon perspective
- ▶ Same physics described naturally in different variables for asymptotic and near horizon observers
- ▶ In particular, asymptotic chemical potentials depend on **near horizon charges** and **chemical potentials**

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done

e.g. well-defined variational principle in metric formulation;
comparison with Stephane Detournay, DG, Friedrich Schöller, Joan Simón '14

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
 - ▶ Similar story works!
 - ▶ Get centerless BMS_3 as composite algebra from Heisenberg algebra!
 - ▶ Soft hairy flat space cosmologies
 - ▶ Asymptotic chemical potentials again depend on near horizon charges and chemical potentials
 - ▶ Obtain again Bekenstein–Hawking entropy with no soft hair contribution

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!
- ▶ Higher spins — with Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso '16: similar story works!

So far: higher spins in AdS_3 ; generalizations to higher spins in non-AdS backgrounds?

see e.g. work with Mirah Gary, Radoslav Rashkov '12 and with Veronika Breunholder, Mirah Gary, Stefan Prohazka and Soo-Jong Rey '15

for flat space higher spins see work with Hamid Afshar, Arjun Bagchi, Reza Fareghbal, Jan Rosseel '13 and independently work by Hernan Gonzalez, Javier Matulich, Miguel Pino and Ricardo Troncoso '13

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!
- ▶ Higher spins — with Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso '16: similar story works!
- ▶ Lower spins — lowest spin gravity! (see Hofman, Rollier '14)

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!
- ▶ Higher spins — with Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso '16: similar story works!
- ▶ Lower spins — lowest spin gravity! (see Hofman, Rollier '14)
- ▶ Precise identification of microstates directly using the Heisenberg algebras — with Hamid Afshar and Shahin Sheikh-Jabbari '16

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!
- ▶ Higher spins — with Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso '16: similar story works!
- ▶ Lower spins — lowest spin gravity! (see Hofman, Rollier '14)
- ▶ Precise identification of microstates directly using the Heisenberg algebras — with Hamid Afshar and Shahin Sheikh-Jabbari '16
- ▶ 4d — Does it work? Is there soft Heisenberg hair? Is BMS_4 composite? What are near horizon symmetries?
 - ▶ Algebraic indication: near horizon symmetry algebra by DGGP '16 again composite in terms of infinitely many Heisenberg algebras!
 - ▶ Non-trivial indication that Heisenberg story could work in 4d as well
 - ▶ see paper with Hamid Afshar and Shahin Sheikh-Jabbari '16

Elaborations and generalizations

- ▶ More on dual field theory — to be done
- ▶ Technical elaborations — to be done
- ▶ Flat space
- ▶ (Topologically) massive gravity (Deser, Jackiw, Templeton '82) — To be done! Doable!
- ▶ Higher spins — with Alfredo Perez, Stefan Prohazka, David Tempo, Ricardo Troncoso '16: similar story works!
- ▶ Lower spins — lowest spin gravity! (see Hofman, Rollier '14)
- ▶ Precise identification of microstates directly using the Heisenberg algebras — with Hamid Afshar and Shahin Sheikh-Jabbari '16
- ▶ 4d — Does it work? Is there soft Heisenberg hair? Is BMS_4 composite? What are near horizon symmetries?

Near horizon symmetries shed new light on soft hair, microstate counting and complementarity

Thanks for your attention!



H. Afshar, D. Grumiller and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari
“Near Horizon Soft Hairs as Microstates of 3D Black Holes,”
1607.00009.



D. Grumiller, A. Perez, S. Prohazka, D. Tempo and R. Troncoso
“Higher Spin Black Holes with Soft Hair,” JHEP, in print;
1607.05360



H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller, W. Merbis, A. Perez,
D. Tempo and R. Troncoso
“Soft Heisenberg hair on black holes in three dimensions,”
Phys.Rev.D [R] (2016) 101503; 1603.04824.



H. Afshar, S. Detournay, D. Grumiller and B. Oblak
“Near-Horizon Geometry and Warped Conformal Symmetry,”
JHEP **1603** (2016) 187; 1512.08233.

Bonus level: exact metric with generic chemical potentials

Our bc's for the connection $A^\pm = b_\pm^{-1}(\rho) (d + \mathbf{a}_\pm(x^0, x^1)) b_\pm(\rho)$ with

$$\mathbf{a}_\pm = (\mathcal{J}_\pm d\varphi + \zeta^\pm dv) L_0$$

and $b_\pm = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\zeta^\pm} L_+\right) \cdot \exp\left(\frac{\rho}{2} L_-\right)$ lead to the metric

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= \frac{1}{2} \langle (A_\mu^+ - A_\mu^-) (A_\nu^+ - A_\nu^-) \rangle dx^\mu dx^\nu \\ &= \left(-\frac{(\zeta^{+2} + \partial_v \zeta^+) (\zeta^{-2} + \partial_v \zeta^-)}{\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho^2 + \frac{\zeta^{+3} \zeta^{-2} + \zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-3} + \partial_v \zeta^+ \zeta^{-3} + \zeta^{+3} \partial_v \zeta^-}{\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho + \frac{1}{4} (\zeta^- - \zeta^+)^2 \right) dv^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{(-\zeta^{+2} - \partial_v \zeta^+) \partial_\varphi \zeta^- + (-\zeta^{-2} - \partial_v \zeta^-) \partial_\varphi \zeta^+ - \mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^+ \partial_v \zeta^- + \zeta^- (\mathcal{J}_- \zeta^{+2} - \mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^+ \zeta^- + \mathcal{J}_- \partial_v \zeta^+)}{2\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial_\varphi \zeta^- \zeta^{+3} + \partial_\varphi \zeta^+ \zeta^{-3} + \mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^{+2} \partial_v \zeta^- - \zeta^- (\mathcal{J}_- \partial_v \zeta^+ \zeta^- + \zeta^+ (\zeta^- + \zeta^+) (\zeta^+ \mathcal{J}_- - \zeta^- \mathcal{J}_+))}{2\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{4} (\zeta^- - \zeta^+) (\mathcal{J}_- + \mathcal{J}_+) \right) dv d\varphi + \left(1 + \frac{\partial_v \zeta^- \zeta^{+2} + \partial_v \zeta^+ \zeta^{-2}}{2\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \right) dv d\rho \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{(\mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^+ + \partial_\varphi \zeta_+) (\mathcal{J}_- \zeta^- - \partial_\varphi \zeta^-)}{\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho^2 + \frac{\mathcal{J}_+ \partial_\varphi \zeta^- \zeta^{+2} - \zeta^- \mathcal{J}_- (\zeta^- \partial_\varphi \zeta^+ + \mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^+ (\zeta^- + \zeta^+))}{\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \rho \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} (\zeta^- + \zeta^+)^2 \right) d\varphi^2 + \left(\frac{\mathcal{J}_+ \zeta^+ \zeta^{-2} - \mathcal{J}_- \zeta^{+2} \zeta^- + \partial_\varphi \zeta^+ \zeta^{-2} + \partial_\varphi \zeta^- \zeta^{+2}}{2\zeta^{+2} \zeta^{-2}} \right) d\varphi d\rho \end{aligned}$$