

# Asymptotic Chern-Simons formulation of spacelike stretched AdS gravity

Milutin Blagojević<sup>1</sup>    Branislav Cvetković<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institute of Physics, Belgrade; <sup>2</sup>Institute for theoretical physics, TU Vienna



FWF

Salzburg, September 2010



## Outline

### Introduction

### $SL(2, R) \times U(1)$ CS gauge theory

The action and boundary conditions

The canonical generator, gauge conditions and asymptotic symmetries

### Spacelike stretched AdS gravity

Lagrangian and canonical generator

Specific asymptotic conditions, new form of surface terms, boundary degrees of freedom

### Asymptotic relation between CS theory and TMG $_{\Lambda}$

The talk is based on paper:

- ▶ M. Blagojević and B. Cvetković, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27** (2010) 185022



- ▶ The AdS asymptotic structure of three-dimensional (3D) Einstein's gravity with a cosmological constant ( $GR_{\Lambda}$ ) is described by two independent Virasoro algebras with classical central charges.
- ▶ Of particular importance for our understanding of the dynamics of 3D  $GR_{\Lambda}$  is the fact that it can be represented as an ordinary gauge theory—the  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  Chern-Simons (CS) gauge theory.
- ▶ Topologically massive gravity with a cosmological constant, denoted shortly as  $TMG_{\Lambda}$ , is an extension  $GR_{\Lambda}$  by a gravitational CS term.
- ▶ While  $GR_{\Lambda}$  is a topological theory,  $TMG_{\Lambda}$  is a dynamical theory with *one propagating mode, the massive graviton*.
- ▶ In the AdS sector,  $TMG_{\Lambda}$  contains a maximally symmetric vacuum solution, known as  $AdS_3$ , and BTZ black hole.

- ▶ The AdS sector of TMG $_{\Lambda}$  around AdS $_3$  is not consistent, so Anninos et al. proposed to choose a new vacuum, the so-called spacelike stretched AdS $_3$ .
- ▶ This choice reduces the  $SL(2, R) \times SL(2, R)$  isometry group of AdS $_3$  to its subgroup  $U(1) \times SL(2, R)$ .
- ▶ An important step towards an understanding of the spacelike stretched AdS asymptotic structure was showing that the resulting asymptotic symmetry is centrally extended semidirect sum of a Virasoro and a  $u(1)$  Kac-Moody algebra,  $\text{Virasoro} \oplus_{\text{sd}} u(1)_{\text{KM}}$ .
- ▶ We want to further improve our understanding of the spacelike stretched AdS gravity by showing that its *asymptotic* structure can be faithfully represented by an  $SL(2, R) \times U(1)$  CS gauge theory.



## The action and boundary conditions

- ▶ We consider the CS gauge theory defined by the action

$$I_{\text{CS}} = -\kappa \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left( A^i dA_i + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon_{ijk} A^i A^j A^k \right) + \bar{\kappa} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \bar{A} d\bar{A}, \quad (2.1)$$

where manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  has the topology  $R \times \Sigma$ , where  $R$  is time and  $\Sigma$  is a spatial manifold ( $\partial\Sigma$  is topologically a circle),  $A^i$  and  $\bar{A}$  are the  $SL(2, R)$  and  $U(1)$  gauge potentials.

- ▶ The action is invariant under the gauge transformations:

$$\delta_0 A^i = \nabla u^i := du^i + \varepsilon^i_{jk} A^j u^k, \quad \delta_0 \bar{A} = d\bar{u}.$$

- ▶ The asymptotic parameters in the spacelike stretched AdS sector of TMG $_{\Lambda}$  are *time independent*, so we choose the CS boundary conditions as

$$A^i_0 = 0, \quad \bar{A}_0 = \bar{a}_0 \quad \text{at } \partial\Sigma, \quad (2.2)$$

since they imply  $\partial_0 u^i = 0$ ,  $\partial_0 \bar{u} = 0$  at the boundary.



- ▶ The canonical gauge generator is given by:

$$G = \int d^2x \left[ (\nabla_0 u^i) \pi_i^0 + u^i \mathcal{H}_i \right] + \int d^2x \left[ (\partial_0 \bar{u}) \bar{\pi}^0 + \bar{u} \bar{\mathcal{H}} \right],$$

$$\mathcal{H}_i := \kappa \varepsilon^{0\alpha\beta} F_{i\alpha\beta} \approx 0, \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}} := -\bar{\kappa} \varepsilon^{0\alpha\beta} \bar{F}_{\alpha\beta} \approx 0,$$

where  $(\pi_i^0, \bar{\pi}^0)$  are canonical momenta corresponding to Lagrangian variables  $(A^i_0, \bar{A}_0)$ .

- ▶ The first set of the corresponding gauge conditions is chosen in accordance with boundary conditions:

$$A^i_0 = 0, \quad \bar{A}_0 = \bar{a}_0. \quad (2.3a)$$

- ▶ The second set of gauge conditions is defined by:

$$A_1 = a_1, \quad \bar{A}_1 = \bar{a}_1, \quad (2.3b)$$

where  $a_1 = a_1^i T_i$  and  $\bar{a}_1$  are constant elements of the corresponding Lie algebras.



- ▶ The field equations imply:

$$A_2 \approx b^{-1} \hat{A}_2(\varphi) b, \quad \bar{A}_2 \approx \bar{A}_2(\varphi). \quad (2.4)$$

- ▶ With the adopted gauge conditions is not differentiable:

$$\delta G = -\delta \Gamma_L[U] - \delta \Gamma_R[\bar{U}].$$

- ▶ We adopt the following boundary conditions for  $u^i$  and  $\bar{u}$ :
  - $u^i = -\theta^i - \xi^\rho A^i_\rho$  and  $\bar{u} = -\xi^\rho \bar{A}_\rho$  (at the boundary),
 which in conjunction with additional requirements:

$$\hat{A}^1_2 = 0, \quad \hat{A}^-_2 = -2C, \quad \bar{a}_1 = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

lead to the following form of the surface term:

$$\Gamma[\xi] := \Gamma_L[\xi] + \Gamma_R[\xi] = - \int d\varphi \xi^0 \mathcal{E} - \int d\varphi \xi^2 \mathcal{M},$$

$$\mathcal{E} = 2\bar{\kappa} \bar{a}_0 \bar{A}_2, \quad \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_L + \bar{\kappa} (\bar{A}_2)^2. \quad (2.6)$$



- ▶ The PB algebra of the improved canonical generators expressed in terms of the the Fourier modes takes the form of the semidirect sum Virasoro  $\oplus_{sd} u(1)_{KM}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 i\{L_m, L_n\} &= (m - n)L_{m+n} + 4\pi\kappa m^3 \delta_{m,-n}, \\
 i\{L_m, K_n\} &= -nK_{m+n}, \\
 i\{K_m, K_n\} &= -4\pi\bar{\kappa}\bar{a}_0^2 m \delta_{m,-n}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

- ▶ The gauge conditions in conjunction with the additional requirements imply that the original set of  $9 + 3$  gauge potentials is reduced to just *two independent boundary degrees of freedom*,  $\hat{A}^+_2(\varphi)$  and  $\bar{A}_2(\varphi)$ .
- ▶ The basic content of our analysis is encoded in the form of the *surface term* and the *PB algebra of the asymptotic generators* and are to be compared to those found in the asymptotic region of the spacelike stretched AdS gravity.



- ▶ The Lagrangian of TMG $_{\Lambda}$  is given by:

$$L_{\text{TMG}} = 2ab^i R_i - \frac{\Lambda}{3} \varepsilon_{ijk} b^i b^j b^k + a\mu^{-1} L_{\text{CS}}(\omega) + \lambda^i T_i, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\omega^i$  is the Lorentz connection and  $b^i$  the orthonormal coframe,  $R^i$  and  $T^i$  are curvature and torsion,  $L_{\text{CS}}(\omega)$  is the CS Lagrangian for the connection,  $\lambda^i$  is the Lagrange multiplier and  $a = 1/16\pi G$ .

- ▶ The improved canonical for the spacelike stretched AdS gravity is given by:

$$\tilde{G} = G + \Gamma, \quad \Gamma := - \int_0^{2\pi} d\varphi \left( \ell T \mathcal{E}^1 + S \mathcal{M}^1 \right),$$

- ▶ The corresponding PB algebra takes the centrally extended Virasoro  $\oplus_{\text{sd}} u(1)_{\text{KM}}$  form with central charges  $c_V = (5\nu^2 + 3)\ell/[G\nu(\nu^2 + 3)]$  and  $c_K = (\nu^2 + 3)\ell/(G\nu)$ .

Specific asymptotic conditions, new form of surface terms, boundary degrees of freedom

- ▶ We introduce a set of the *specific* (refined) asymptotic conditions, *compatible* with the general asymptotic structure.
- ▶ Neither the black hole solution nor the leading order asymptotic parameters depend on time. Hence, we introduce the following refined asymptotic conditions:

$$(b^i_{\mu}, \omega^i_{\mu}, \lambda^i_{\mu}) \quad \text{and} \quad (\xi^{\mu}, \theta^i) \quad \text{are time independent.} \quad (3.2)$$

- ▶ Motivated again by the properties of the black hole solution we adopt the following conditions:

$$\frac{\nu}{\ell} b^i_0 + \omega^i_0 = 0, \quad \frac{a}{\mu \ell^2} (4\nu^2 - 3) b^i_0 - \lambda^i_0 = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

- ▶ These conditions can be considered as the gauge conditions that are compatible with the spacelike stretched AdS asymptotics.

Specific asymptotic conditions, new form of surface terms, boundary degrees of freedom

- ▶ The surface terms  $\mathcal{E}^1$  and  $\mathcal{M}^1$  can be written in a form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}^1 &= -\frac{a[3(\nu^2 - 1)]^{3/2}}{3\nu\ell} \hat{B}^2_0, & \mathcal{M}^1 &= \mathcal{M}^+ + \frac{12\pi\ell^2}{c_K} (\mathcal{E}^1)^2, \\
 \mathcal{M}^+ : &= -\frac{a\sqrt{3(\nu^2 - 1)}}{2} \left( \frac{\hat{B}^2_2}{\nu\ell} + \frac{2\sqrt{\nu^2 + 3}}{3\ell} \hat{B}^0_2 \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{4}{3} \hat{\Omega}^2_2 + \frac{1}{a} \hat{A}^2_2 + \frac{2\sqrt{\nu^2 + 3}}{3\nu} \hat{\Omega}^0_2 \right). \tag{3.4}
 \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ The first/second order sub-leading terms in the asymptotic expansion of the fields are denoted by single/double hats.
- ▶ One can prove that in the spacelike stretched AdS sector of TMG $_{\Lambda}$ , there are two independent boundary degrees of freedom.

- Asymptotic structures of the spacelike stretched AdS gravity and the  $SL(2, R) \times U(1)$  CS gauge theory can be identified by adopting a natural asymptotic correspondence between their field variables and coupling constants.
- ▶ To prove the statement, we compare the *asymptotic canonical algebras* and the corresponding *surface terms*:

$$4\pi\bar{\kappa}\bar{a}_0^2 \sim \frac{c_K}{12}, \quad 4\pi\kappa \sim \frac{c_V}{12}, \quad 2\bar{\kappa}\bar{a}_0\bar{A}_2 \sim \mathcal{E}^1, \quad 2\kappa C\hat{A}^+_2 \sim \mathcal{M}^+.$$

- ▶ Under the adopted gauge and boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^i{}_{\mu} &\sim \omega^i{}_{\mu} + \frac{3\nu}{2(2\nu + \sqrt{\nu^2 + 3})} \left( \frac{3 + 2\nu\sqrt{\nu^2 + 3}}{3\nu} b^i{}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{a} \lambda^i{}_{\mu} \right), \\
 \bar{A}_{\mu} &\sim \frac{\ell}{2\bar{\kappa}\bar{a}_0} b^j{}_0 \left( \frac{4a}{3} \omega_{i\mu} + \lambda_{i\mu} - \frac{a}{3\ell} \frac{2\nu^2 + 3}{\nu} b_{i\mu} \right). \tag{4.1}
 \end{aligned}$$



- ▶ To illustrate practical aspects of the correspondence established above, we note that in thermodynamic applications, one needs a finite and differentiable action.
- ▶ The improved actions  $\tilde{I}_{\text{CS}}$  and  $\tilde{I}_{\text{TMG}}$  are:

$$\tilde{I}_{\text{CS}} = I_{\text{CS}} + B_{\text{CS}}, \quad B_{\text{CS}} := -\bar{\kappa} \bar{a}_0 \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} dt d\varphi \bar{A}_2,$$

$$\tilde{I}_{\text{TMG}} = (I_{\text{TMG}})_r + B_{\text{TMG}}, \quad B_{\text{TMG}} = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\partial \mathcal{M}} dt d\varphi \mathcal{E}^1,$$

- ▶ In view of the asymptotic correspondence, the CS boundary term is seen to coincide with TMG $_{\Lambda}$  one:

$$B_{\text{CS}} = B_{\text{TMG}}, \quad (4.2)$$

and the on-shell values of the improved actions are identical giving a deeper insight into the correspondence of the two theories.