# $AdS_3/LCFT_2$ correspondence Massive gravity in three dimensions

Daniel Grumiller

Institute for Theoretical Physics Vienna University of Technology

 $40^{\mathrm{th}}$  International Symposium Ahrenshoop, August 2010



with: Sabine Ertl, Olaf Hohm, Roman Jackiw, Niklas Johansson, Ivo Sachs, Dima Vassilevich, Thomas Zojer

# Outline

Motivation for 3D massive gravity and introduction to LCFTs

Topologically massive gravity

Logarithmic CFT conjecture

Consequences, Generalizations & Applications

# Outline

# Motivation for 3D massive gravity and introduction to LCFTs

Topologically massive gravity

Logarithmic CFT conjecture

Consequences, Generalizations & Applications

# Quantum gravity

- Address conceptual issues of quantum gravity
- Black hole evaporation, information loss, black hole microstate counting, virtual black hole production, ...
- Technically much simpler than 4D or higher D gravity
- Integrable models: powerful tools in physics (Coulomb problem, Hydrogen atom, harmonic oscillator, ...)
- Models should be as simple as possible, but not simpler

# Gauge/gravity duality

- Deeper understanding of black hole holography
- ► AdS<sub>3</sub>/CFT<sub>2</sub> correspondence best understood
- Quantum gravity via AdS/CFT? (Witten '07, Li, Song, Strominger '08)
- Applications to 2D condensed matter systems?
- Gauge gravity duality beyond standard AdS/CFT: warped AdS, asymptotic Schrödinger, non-relativistic CFTs, logarithmic CFTs, ...
- Physics
  - Cosmic strings (Deser, Jackiw, 't Hooft '84, '92)
  - Black hole analog systems in condensed matter physics (graphene, BEC, fluids, ...)

# Gauge/gravity duality

 Gauge gravity duality beyond standard AdS/CFT: warped AdS, asymptotic Schrödinger, non-relativistic CFTs, logarithmic CFTs, ...

> Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Theoretically interesting (for a review see Gaberdiel '01): ► logarithmic CFTs are non-unitary

Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Theoretically interesting (for a review see Gaberdiel '01):

- Iogarithmic CFTs are non-unitary
- not evident if/how gauge/gravity duality is supposed to work in this case would need gravity theories reflecting non-unitarity

Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Theoretically interesting (for a review see Gaberdiel '01):

- logarithmic CFTs are non-unitary
- not evident if/how gauge/gravity duality is supposed to work in this case would need gravity theories reflecting non-unitarity
- for specific logarithmic CFTs where energy momentum tensor acquires a logarithmic partner: would need some partner of the graviton on the gravity side

Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Theoretically interesting (for a review see Gaberdiel '01):

- Iogarithmic CFTs are non-unitary
- not evident if/how gauge/gravity duality is supposed to work in this case would need gravity theories reflecting non-unitarity
- for specific logarithmic CFTs where energy momentum tensor acquires a logarithmic partner: would need some partner of the graviton on the gravity side
- Phenomenologically interesting:
  - ► logarithmic CFTs describe e.g. systems with quenched disorder
  - examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, percolation, dilute self-avoiding polymers

4/24

Main motivation of this talk: Gravity duals for logarithmic CFTs?

Theoretically interesting (for a review see Gaberdiel '01):

- Iogarithmic CFTs are non-unitary
- not evident if/how gauge/gravity duality is supposed to work in this case would need gravity theories reflecting non-unitarity
- for specific logarithmic CFTs where energy momentum tensor acquires a logarithmic partner: would need some partner of the graviton on the gravity side

Phenomenologically interesting:

- ► logarithmic CFTs describe e.g. systems with quenched disorder
- examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, percolation, dilute self-avoiding polymers
- in appropriate strong coupling limit: exploit AdS/LCFT correspondence to calculate observables on gravity side?

Reminder: energy-momentum tensor of CFTs

$$T_{zz} = \mathcal{O}^L(z) \qquad T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \mathcal{O}^R(\bar{z})$$

Suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  with same conformal weights as  $\mathcal{O}^L$ 

Reminder: energy-momentum tensor of CFTs

$$T_{zz} = \mathcal{O}^L(z) \qquad T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \mathcal{O}^R(\bar{z})$$

Suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  with same conformal weights as  $\mathcal{O}^{L}$ If Hamiltonian does not diagonalize we have a (specific) logarithmic CFT:

$$H\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}2&1\\0&2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right)$$

Reminder: energy-momentum tensor of CFTs

$$T_{zz} = \mathcal{O}^L(z) \qquad T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \mathcal{O}^R(\bar{z})$$

Suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  with same conformal weights as  $\mathcal{O}^{L}$ If Hamiltonian does not diagonalize we have a (specific) logarithmic CFT:

$$H\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}2&1\\0&2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right)$$

Alternatively: suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{M}}$  with conformal weights

$$h = 2 + \varepsilon$$
  $\bar{h} = \varepsilon$   $\langle \mathcal{O}^M(z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{O}^M(0, 0) \rangle = \frac{\hat{B}}{z^{4+2\varepsilon \bar{z}^{2\varepsilon}}} + \dots$ 

Reminder: energy-momentum tensor of CFTs

$$T_{zz} = \mathcal{O}^L(z) \qquad T_{\bar{z}\bar{z}} = \mathcal{O}^R(\bar{z})$$

Suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  with same conformal weights as  $\mathcal{O}^{L}$ If Hamiltonian does not diagonalize we have a (specific) logarithmic CFT:

$$H\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}2 & 1\\0 & 2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\mathcal{O}^{\log}\\\mathcal{O}^{L}\end{array}\right)$$

Alternatively: suppose that CFT has operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\mathrm{M}}$  with conformal weights

$$h = 2 + \varepsilon$$
  $\bar{h} = \varepsilon$   $\langle \mathcal{O}^M(z, \bar{z}) \mathcal{O}^M(0, 0) \rangle = \frac{\hat{B}}{z^{4+2\varepsilon} \bar{z}^{2\varepsilon}} + \dots$ 

Send simultaneously left central charge  $c_L$  and parameter  $\varepsilon$  to zero. If these limits exist then get a logarithmic CFT:

$$b_L := \lim_{c_L \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \qquad B := \lim_{c_L \to 0} \left(\hat{B} + \frac{2}{c_L}\right)$$

#### Two-point correlators in LCFTs

Recapitulate some formulas from the last slide:

$$h = 2 + \varepsilon \qquad \bar{h} = \varepsilon \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}^M(z,\bar{z}) \mathcal{O}^M(0,0) \rangle = \frac{\hat{B}}{z^{4+2\varepsilon} \bar{z}^{2\varepsilon}} + \dots$$
$$b_L := \lim_{c_L \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \qquad B := \lim_{c_L \to 0} \left(\hat{B} + \frac{2}{c_L}\right)$$

Define a new operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  that linearly combines  $\mathcal{O}^{L/M}$ .

$$\mathcal{O}^{\log} = b_L \frac{\mathcal{O}^L}{c_L} + \frac{b_L}{2} \mathcal{O}^M$$

#### Two-point correlators in LCFTs

Recapitulate some formulas from the last slide:

$$h = 2 + \varepsilon \qquad \bar{h} = \varepsilon \qquad \langle \mathcal{O}^M(z,\bar{z}) \, \mathcal{O}^M(0,0) \rangle = \frac{\hat{B}}{z^{4+2\varepsilon} \bar{z}^{2\varepsilon}} + \dots$$
$$b_L := \lim_{c_L \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon} \neq 0 \qquad B := \lim_{c_L \to 0} \left(\hat{B} + \frac{2}{c_L}\right)$$

Define a new operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  that linearly combines  $\mathcal{O}^{L/M}$ .

$$\mathcal{O}^{\log} = b_L \frac{\mathcal{O}^L}{c_L} + \frac{b_L}{2} \mathcal{O}^M$$

Taking the limit  $c_L \rightarrow 0$  leads to the following 2-point correlators:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}^L(z)\mathcal{O}^L(0,0)\rangle &= 0\\ \langle \mathcal{O}^L(z)\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle &= \frac{b_L}{2z^4}\\ \langle \mathcal{O}^{\log}(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle &= -\frac{b_L\ln\left(m_L^2|z|^2\right)}{z^4} \end{aligned}$$

"New anomaly"  $b_L$  characterizes LCFT

6/24

#### Conformal Ward identities

Like in ordinary CFTs, conformal Ward identities determine essentially uniquely the form of 2- and 3-point correlators (set  $m_L = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{O}^L(z)\mathcal{O}^L(0,0)\rangle &= 0\\ \langle \mathcal{O}^L(z)\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle &= \frac{b_L}{2z^4}\\ \langle \mathcal{O}^{\log}(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle &= -\frac{b_L\ln\left(|z|^2\right)}{z^4} \end{aligned}$$

$$\langle \mathcal{O}^{L}(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}^{L}(z',\bar{z}')\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle = \frac{b_{L}}{z^{2}z'^{2}(z-z')^{2}} \langle \mathcal{O}^{L}(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}^{\log}(z',\bar{z}')\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle = -\frac{2b_{L}\ln|z'|^{2} + \frac{b_{L}}{2}}{z^{2}z'^{2}(z-z')^{2}} \langle \mathcal{O}^{\log}(z,\bar{z})\mathcal{O}^{\log}(z',\bar{z}')\mathcal{O}^{\log}(0,0)\rangle = \frac{\text{lengthy}}{z^{2}z'^{2}(z-z')^{2}}$$

### Requirements for gravity duals to LCFTs

Checks for purported gravity duals to logarithmic CFTs

- $\blacktriangleright$  There exists some bulk mode corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  must degenerate with weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{L}$
- Jordan cell structure of  $H \sim L_0 + ar{L}_0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^L$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Central charges must be tunable to zero by some parameter
- Gravity theory should exhibit non-unitarity
- Conformal Ward identities must hold

### Requirements for gravity duals to LCFTs

Checks for purported gravity duals to logarithmic CFTs

- $\blacktriangleright$  There exists some bulk mode corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  must degenerate with weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{L}$
- Jordan cell structure of  $H \sim L_0 + ar{L}_0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^L$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Central charges must be tunable to zero by some parameter
- Gravity theory should exhibit non-unitarity
- Conformal Ward identities must hold

Cannot be Einstein gravity!

### Requirements for gravity duals to LCFTs

Checks for purported gravity duals to logarithmic CFTs

- $\blacktriangleright$  There exists some bulk mode corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  must degenerate with weights of  $\mathcal{O}^L$
- Jordan cell structure of  $H \sim L_0 + ar{L}_0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^L$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$
- Central charges must be tunable to zero by some parameter
- Gravity theory should exhibit non-unitarity
- Conformal Ward identities must hold

Cannot be Einstein gravity!

Consider theories that naturally generalize Einstein gravity:

Massive gravity in three dimensions

# Outline

Motivation for 3D massive gravity and introduction to LCFTs

Topologically massive gravity

Logarithmic CFT conjecture

Consequences, Generalizations & Applications

#### Action and equations of motion of topologically massive gravity (TMG)

Consider the action (Deser, Jackiw & Templeton '82)

$$I_{\rm TMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\sigma} \left( \partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\tau} \Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho} \right) \right]$$

#### Action and equations of motion of topologically massive gravity (TMG)

Consider the action (Deser, Jackiw & Templeton '82)

$$I_{\rm TMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\sigma} \left( \partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\tau} \Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho} \right) \right]$$

Equations of motion:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - \frac{1}{\ell^2} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

with the Cotton tensor defined as

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} R_{\beta\nu} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$$

## Action and equations of motion of topologically massive gravity (TMG)

Consider the action (Deser, Jackiw & Templeton '82)

$$I_{\rm TMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ R + \frac{2}{\ell^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda\mu\nu} \Gamma^{\rho}{}_{\lambda\sigma} \left( \partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\nu\rho} + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma^{\sigma}{}_{\mu\tau} \Gamma^{\tau}{}_{\nu\rho} \right) \right]$$

Equations of motion:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R - \frac{1}{\ell^2} g_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

with the Cotton tensor defined as

$$C_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} R_{\beta\nu} + (\mu \leftrightarrow \nu)$$

Some properties of TMG

- Massive gravitons and black holes
- AdS solutions and asymptotic AdS solutions
- warped AdS solutions and warped AdS black holes
- Schrödinger solutions and Schrödinger pp-waves

#### Definition: TMG at the chiral point is TMG with the tuning

 $\mu\,\ell=1$ 

between the cosmological constant and the Chern-Simons coupling.

#### Definition: TMG at the chiral point is TMG with the tuning

 $\mu\,\ell=1$ 

between the cosmological constant and the Chern–Simons coupling. Why special? (Li, Song & Strominger '08)

Definition: TMG at the chiral point is TMG with the tuning

 $\mu \,\ell = 1$ 

between the cosmological constant and the Chern–Simons coupling. Why special? (Li, Song & Strominger '08)

Calculating the central charges of the dual boundary CFT yields

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right) \qquad c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right)$$

Thus, at the chiral point we get

$$c_L = 0$$
  $c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ 

Definition: TMG at the chiral point is TMG with the tuning

 $\mu \,\ell = 1$ 

between the cosmological constant and the Chern–Simons coupling. Why special? (Li, Song & Strominger '08)

Calculating the central charges of the dual boundary CFT yields

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right) \qquad c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right)$$

Thus, at the chiral point we get

$$c_L = 0 \qquad c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$$

▶ Dual CFT: chiral? (conjecture by Li, Song & Strominger '08)

Definition: TMG at the chiral point is TMG with the tuning

 $\mu \,\ell = 1$ 

between the cosmological constant and the Chern–Simons coupling. Why special? (Li, Song & Strominger '08)

Calculating the central charges of the dual boundary CFT yields

$$c_L = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right) \qquad c_R = \frac{3\ell}{2G} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\mu \ell} \right)$$

Thus, at the chiral point we get

$$c_L = 0$$
  $c_R = \frac{3\ell}{G}$ 

- ► Dual CFT: chiral? (conjecture by Li, Song & Strominger '08)
- Dual CFT: logarithmic? (conjecture by Grumiller & Johansson '08)

## Gravitons around $\mathsf{AdS}_3$ in $\mathsf{TMG}$

Linearization around AdS background.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

### Gravitons around $AdS_3$ in TMG

Linearization around AdS background.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

leads to linearized EOM that are third order PDE

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = (\mathcal{D}^R \mathcal{D}^L \mathcal{D}^M h)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
(1)

with three mutually commuting first order operators

$$(\mathcal{D}^{L/R})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \pm \ell \,\varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \qquad (\mathcal{D}^{M})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}$$

### Gravitons around $AdS_3$ in TMG

Linearization around AdS background.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

leads to linearized EOM that are third order PDE

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = (\mathcal{D}^R \mathcal{D}^L \mathcal{D}^M h)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

with three mutually commuting first order operators

$$(\mathcal{D}^{L/R})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \pm \ell \,\varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \qquad (\mathcal{D}^{M})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}$$

Three linearly independent solutions to (1):

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^L h^L\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \left(\mathcal{D}^R h^R\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \left(\mathcal{D}^M h^M\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

### Gravitons around $AdS_3$ in TMG

Linearization around AdS background.

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}$$

leads to linearized EOM that are third order PDE

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{\mu} C_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = (\mathcal{D}^R \mathcal{D}^L \mathcal{D}^M h)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$
<sup>(1)</sup>

with three mutually commuting first order operators

$$(\mathcal{D}^{L/R})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} \pm \ell \,\varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha} \qquad (\mathcal{D}^{M})_{\mu}{}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu}_{\mu} + \frac{1}{\mu} \varepsilon_{\mu}{}^{\alpha\nu} \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha}$$

Three linearly independent solutions to (1):

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^L h^L\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \left(\mathcal{D}^R h^R\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \left(\mathcal{D}^M h^M\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

At chiral point left (L) and massive (M) branches coincide! First hint that logarithmic CFT could emerge! The logarithmic graviton mode Grumiller & Johansson '08

Standard construction:

$$h_{\mu
u}^{
m log} = \lim_{\mu\ell o 1} rac{h_{\mu
u}^{M}(\mu\ell) - h_{\mu
u}^{L}}{\mu\ell - 1}$$

with property

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^L h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} = \left(\mathcal{D}^M h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} \neq 0, \qquad \left((\mathcal{D}^L)^2 h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

The logarithmic graviton mode Grumiller & Johansson '08

Standard construction:

$$h_{\mu
u}^{
m log} = \lim_{\mu\ell o 1} rac{h_{\mu
u}^{M}(\mu\ell) - h_{\mu
u}^{L}}{\mu\ell - 1}$$

with property

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^L h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} = \left(\mathcal{D}^M h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} \neq 0, \qquad \left((\mathcal{D}^L)^2 h^{\log}\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

Log mode leads to Jordan cell structure like in LCFT:

$$H\left(\begin{array}{c}h^{\log}\\h^{L}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc}2&1\\0&2\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}h^{\log}\\h^{L}\end{array}\right)$$

 $H = L_0 + \bar{L}_0 \sim \partial_t$  is Hamilton operator Motivates LCFT conjecture

# Outline

Motivation for 3D massive gravity and introduction to LCFTs

Topologically massive gravity

Logarithmic CFT conjecture

Consequences, Generalizations & Applications
# Early hints for legitimacy of conjecture

Properties of logarithmic mode:

- Perturbative solution of linearized EOM, but not pure gauge
- Energy of logarithmic mode is finite

$$E^{\log} = -\frac{47}{1152G\,\ell^3}$$

and negative  $\rightarrow$  instability! (Grumiller & Johansson '08)

Logarithmic mode is asymptotically AdS

 $ds^{2} = d\rho^{2} + \left(\gamma_{ij}^{(0)}e^{2\rho/\ell} + \gamma_{ij}^{(1)}\rho + \gamma_{ij}^{(0)} + \gamma_{ij}^{(2)}e^{-2\rho/\ell} + \dots\right) dx^{i} dx^{j}$ 

but violates Brown–Henneaux boundary conditions!  $(\gamma_{ij}^{(1)}|_{BH} = 0)$ 

- Consistent log boundary conditions replacing Brown-Henneaux (Grumiller & Johansson '08, Martinez, Henneaux & Troncoso '09)
- Brown–York stress tensor is finite and traceless, but not chiral
- Log mode persists non-perturbatively, as shown by Hamilton analysis (Grumiller, Jackiw & Johansson '08, Carlip '08)

# Reminder: Requirements for gravity duals to LCFTs

Checks for purported gravity duals to logarithmic CFTs

- $\blacktriangleright$  There exists some bulk mode corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  OK
- Weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  must degenerate with weights of  $\mathcal{O}^L$  OK
- ▶ Jordan cell structure of  $H \sim L_0 + \bar{L}_0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^L$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  OK
- Central charges must be tunable to zero by some parameter OK
- Gravity theory should exhibit non-unitarity OK
- Conformal Ward identities must hold ???

Topologically massive gravity looks promising as candidate for a gravity dual to a logarithmic CFT!

# Reminder: Requirements for gravity duals to LCFTs

Checks for purported gravity duals to logarithmic CFTs

- $\blacktriangleright$  There exists some bulk mode corresponding to the operator  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  OK
- ▶ Weights of  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  must degenerate with weights of  $\mathcal{O}^L$  OK
- ▶ Jordan cell structure of  $H \sim L_0 + \bar{L}_0$  with respect to  $\mathcal{O}^L$ ,  $\mathcal{O}^{\log}$  OK
- Central charges must be tunable to zero by some parameter OK
- Gravity theory should exhibit non-unitarity OK
- Conformal Ward identities must hold ???

Topologically massive gravity looks promising as candidate for a gravity dual to a logarithmic CFT!

Relevant question at this stage:

Consistency of conformal Ward identities?

If LCFT conjecture is correct then following procedure must work:

 Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side

- Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side
- According to AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> dictionary these non-normalizable modes are sources for corresponding operators in the dual CFT

- Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side
- According to AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> dictionary these non-normalizable modes are sources for corresponding operators in the dual CFT
- Calculate 2- and 3-point correlators on the gravity side, e.g. by plugging non-normalizable modes into second and third variation of the on-shell action

- Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side
- According to AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> dictionary these non-normalizable modes are sources for corresponding operators in the dual CFT
- Calculate 2- and 3-point correlators on the gravity side, e.g. by plugging non-normalizable modes into second and third variation of the on-shell action
- These correlators must coinicde with the ones of a logarithmic CFT

If LCFT conjecture is correct then following procedure must work:

- Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side
- According to AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> dictionary these non-normalizable modes are sources for corresponding operators in the dual CFT
- Calculate 2- and 3-point correlators on the gravity side, e.g. by plugging non-normalizable modes into second and third variation of the on-shell action
- These correlators must coinicde with the ones of a logarithmic CFT

Except for value of new anomaly  $b_L$  no freedom in this procedure. Either it works or it does not work.

If LCFT conjecture is correct then following procedure must work:

- Calculate non-normalizable modes for left, right and logarithmic branches by solving linearized EOM on gravity side
- According to AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> dictionary these non-normalizable modes are sources for corresponding operators in the dual CFT
- Calculate 2- and 3-point correlators on the gravity side, e.g. by plugging non-normalizable modes into second and third variation of the on-shell action
- These correlators must coinicde with the ones of a logarithmic CFT

Except for value of new anomaly  $b_L$  no freedom in this procedure. Either it works or it does not work.

- Works at level of 2-point correlators (Skenderis, Taylor & van Rees '09, Grumiller & Sachs '09)
- Works at level of 3-point correlators (Grumiller & Sachs '09)
- ▶ Value of new anomaly:  $b_L = -c_R = -3\ell/G$

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

• Consider small but non-vanising central charge  $c_L$ 

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

- Consider small but non-vanising central charge c<sub>L</sub>
- ► Then weights h = 2 + ε and h = ε of massive modes differ infinitesimally from weights 2 and 0 of left mode

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

- Consider small but non-vanising central charge c<sub>L</sub>
- ► Then weights h = 2 + ε and h
  = ε of massive modes differ infinitesimally from weights 2 and 0 of left mode
- The new anomaly is given by the ratio of these two small quantities

$$b_L = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon}$$

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

- Consider small but non-vanising central charge c<sub>L</sub>
- ► Then weights h = 2 + ε and h
  = ε of massive modes differ infinitesimally from weights 2 and 0 of left mode
- The new anomaly is given by the ratio of these two small quantities

$$b_L = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon}$$

Result obtained in this way must coincide with result for b<sub>L</sub> from the 2- and 3-point correlators

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

- Consider small but non-vanising central charge c<sub>L</sub>
- ► Then weights h = 2 + ε and h = ε of massive modes differ infinitesimally from weights 2 and 0 of left mode
- The new anomaly is given by the ratio of these two small quantities

$$b_L = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon}$$

Result obtained in this way must coincide with result for b<sub>L</sub> from the 2- and 3-point correlators

Recover the result (Grumiller & Hohm '09, Grumiller, Johansson & Zojer, to appear)  $b_L = -\frac{3\ell}{C}$ 

As final consistency check perform the following short-cut.

- Consider small but non-vanising central charge c<sub>L</sub>
- ► Then weights h = 2 + ε and h = ε of massive modes differ infinitesimally from weights 2 and 0 of left mode
- The new anomaly is given by the ratio of these two small quantities

$$b_L = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} -\frac{c_L}{\varepsilon}$$

Result obtained in this way must coincide with result for b<sub>L</sub> from the 2- and 3-point correlators

Recover the result (Grumiller & Hohm '09, Grumiller, Johansson & Zojer, to appear)  $b_L = -\frac{3\ell}{C}$ 

### Conclusion: all consistency tests show validity of LCFT conjecture!

# Outline

Motivation for 3D massive gravity and introduction to LCFTs

Topologically massive gravity

Logarithmic CFT conjecture

Consequences, Generalizations & Applications

# TMG at the chiral/logarithmic point $\mu \ell = 1$ :

▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT

- ► 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- ► Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes → good indication for a LCFT

- ► 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- $\blacktriangleright$  Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes  $\rightarrow$  good indication for a LCFT
- Correlators on gravity side match precisely those of LCFT

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- $\blacktriangleright$  Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes  $\rightarrow$  good indication for a LCFT
- Correlators on gravity side match precisely those of LCFT
- ▶ Central charges:  $c_L = 0$ ,  $c_R = 3\ell/G$ , new anomaly:  $b_L = -3\ell/G$

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- $\blacktriangleright$  Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes  $\rightarrow$  good indication for a LCFT
- Correlators on gravity side match precisely those of LCFT
- ▶ Central charges:  $c_L = 0$ ,  $c_R = 3\ell/G$ , new anomaly:  $b_L = -3\ell/G$
- ► LCFTs non-unitary ↔ bulk gravitons negative energy

- ▶ 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- $\blacktriangleright$  Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes  $\rightarrow$  good indication for a LCFT
- Correlators on gravity side match precisely those of LCFT
- ▶ Central charges:  $c_L = 0$ ,  $c_R = 3\ell/G$ , new anomaly:  $b_L = -3\ell/G$
- LCFTs non-unitary  $\leftrightarrow$  bulk gravitons negative energy
- LCFTs cannot be chiral  $\leftrightarrow$  Brown–York stress tensor not chiral

TMG at the chiral/logarithmic point  $\mu \ell = 1$ :

- ► 3D gravity theory with black holes and massive graviton excitations
- Conjectured to be dual to logarithmic CFT
- Conjecture passed several independent consistency tests
- Non-trivial Jordan cell structure on gravity side, like in LCFT
- $\blacktriangleright$  Operator degenerates with energy-momentum tensor at the point where central charge vanishes  $\rightarrow$  good indication for a LCFT
- Correlators on gravity side match precisely those of LCFT
- ▶ Central charges:  $c_L = 0$ ,  $c_R = 3\ell/G$ , new anomaly:  $b_L = -3\ell/G$
- LCFTs non-unitary  $\leftrightarrow$  bulk gravitons negative energy
- LCFTs cannot be chiral  $\leftrightarrow$  Brown–York stress tensor not chiral

If conjecture true: first example of  $AdS_3/LCFT_2$  correspondence!

Note: 1-loop calculations with Vassilevich '10 provide further indication

Generalizations to new massive gravity and generalized massive gravity New massive gravity (Bergshoeff, Hohm & Townsend '09):

$$I_{\rm NMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \sigma R + \frac{1}{m^2} \left( R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} R^2 \right) - 2\lambda m^2 \right]$$

Similar story (Grumiller & Hohm '09, Alishahiha & Naseh '10):

• Linearized EOM around  $AdS_3$  ( $g = \bar{g} + h$ )

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^R \mathcal{D}^L \mathcal{D}^M \mathcal{D}^{\bar{M}} h\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- Logarithmic point for  $\lambda = 3$ :  $c_L = c_R = 0$
- Massive modes degenerate with left and right boundary gravitons
- 2-point correlators on gravity side match precisely those of a LCFT
- New anomalies:  $b_L = b_R = -\sigma 12\ell/G$

Generalizations to new massive gravity and generalized massive gravity New massive gravity (Bergshoeff, Hohm & Townsend '09):

$$I_{\rm NMG} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^3x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \sigma R + \frac{1}{m^2} \left( R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} R^2 \right) - 2\lambda m^2 \right]$$

Similar story (Grumiller & Hohm '09, Alishahiha & Naseh '10):

• Linearized EOM around  $AdS_3$  ( $g = \bar{g} + h$ )

$$\left(\mathcal{D}^R \mathcal{D}^L \mathcal{D}^M \mathcal{D}^{\bar{M}} h\right)_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

- Logarithmic point for  $\lambda = 3$ :  $c_L = c_R = 0$
- Massive modes degenerate with left and right boundary gravitons
- 2-point correlators on gravity side match precisely those of a LCFT
- New anomalies:  $b_L = b_R = -\sigma 12\ell/G$

Further generalizations: Higher derivative theories (Sinha '10, Paulos '10): similar story seems likely (but potentially with higher order Jordan cells)

LCFTs arise in systems with quenched disorder.

 Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- ► Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point
- Infamous denominator in correlators:

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D} V P[V] \, \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right) \, \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0)}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right)}$$

LCFTs arise in systems with quenched disorder.

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- ► For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point
- Infamous denominator in correlators:

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D} V P[V] \, \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right) \, \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0)}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right)}$$

Different ways to deal with denominator (replica trick, SUSY)
## Potential applications in condensed matter physics

LCFTs arise in systems with quenched disorder.

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- ► For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point
- Infamous denominator in correlators:

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D} V P[V] \, \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right) \, \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0)}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right)}$$

- Different ways to deal with denominator (replica trick, SUSY)
- Result: operators degenerate and correlators acquire logarithmic behavior, exactly as in LCFT (Cardy '99)

## Potential applications in condensed matter physics

LCFTs arise in systems with quenched disorder.

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- ► For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point
- Infamous denominator in correlators:

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D} V P[V] \, \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right) \, \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0)}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right)}$$

- Different ways to deal with denominator (replica trick, SUSY)
- Result: operators degenerate and correlators acquire logarithmic behavior, exactly as in LCFT (Cardy '99)
- Exploit LCFTs to compute correlators of quenched random systems

## Potential applications in condensed matter physics

LCFTs arise in systems with quenched disorder.

- Quenched disorder: systems with random variable that does not evolve in time
- Examples: spin glasses, quenched random magnets, ...
- ► For sufficient amount of disorder perturbation theory breaks down random critical point
- Infamous denominator in correlators:

$$\overline{\langle \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0) \rangle} = \int \mathcal{D} V P[V] \, \frac{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right) \, \mathcal{O}(z) \, \mathcal{O}(0)}{\int \mathcal{D}\phi \, \exp\left(-I[\phi] - \int d^2 z' V(z') \mathcal{O}(z')\right)}$$

- Different ways to deal with denominator (replica trick, SUSY)
- Result: operators degenerate and correlators acquire logarithmic behavior, exactly as in LCFT (Cardy '99)
- Exploit LCFTs to compute correlators of quenched random systems
- ► Apply AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> to describe strongly coupled LCFTs!

Thanks for your attention!



## Some literature

- M. R. Gaberdiel, "An algebraic approach to logarithmic conformal field theory," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A18 (2003) 4593 hep-th/0111260.
- D. Grumiller and N. Johansson, "Gravity duals for logarithmic conformal field theories," 1001.0002. See also Refs. therein.
- W. Li, W. Song and A. Strominger, "Chiral Gravity in Three Dimensions," JHEP **0804** (2008) 082, 0801.4566.
  - D. Grumiller and N. Johansson, "Instability in cosmological topologically massive gravity at the chiral point," JHEP 0807 (2008) 134, 0805.2610.
- K. Skenderis, M. Taylor and B. C. van Rees, "Topologically Massive Gravity and the AdS/CFT Correspondence," JHEP **0909** (2009) 045 0906.4926.
  - D. Grumiller and I. Sachs, "AdS<sub>3</sub>/LCFT<sub>2</sub> Correlators in Cosmological Topologically Massive Gravity," JHEP **1003** (2010) 012 0910.5241.